

THE END-TIME ERROR

Before we begin our study this morning, I just want to say that this mini-series on the false doctrines that are a result of misunderstanding the Revelation of Jesus Christ, is one of the most difficult things that I have undertaken to date with the exception of the Godhead study and the Parousia (Presence) study that were actually given out in booklet form. I have to agree with Brother Vayle, that there is a completely different approach that must be taken when writing in contrast to simply speaking. When I am preaching in a series from one of Brother Branham's sermons, I can go into my study, close the door, and get alone with God. I will begin to read what Brother Branham is saying and when the Spirit quickens my mind to something that is said, I will stop and focus on that thought. The Spirit of God then begins to open the scriptures to me, and as I read it, it is like a door opening up and as I look into the particular scripture He brings to my mind, it is like going through a door and when I do, I look and I see another door and as I open up that door, (scripture) it leads to another door (scripture) and so on until the sermon message is complete in the area we are studying. But with this it is much different. First I must research what it is that is being said that is not lined up with the correct understanding of the Revelation of Jesus Christ. Then I must know what it is that these men are actually trying to get across to the people. In the process of coming to this understanding, I will then have to look at what teaching techniques they are using and must be able to break these down and show how they are not correct and in fact in error concerning the Word of God. Then I must show by scripture and also by God's vindicated Revelation of Jesus Christ through His Prophet whom He personally vindicated and I must utilizing the Word of God and The Message of the Hour, show where the error is made and be able to show you what is the Truth of the Matter, for if I can only tell you that teaching which is wrong without showing you why it is wrong, then I have failed to really prove anything and their guess becomes as good as anyone else's. But Brother Branham told us that this is a million miles beyond a guess. We must know what we believe, and hold fast to that which is right. That is why it is vital to know what is being taught and why it is being taught and how it is being taught. Then we can see where the teaching actually comes from, where it had its source and why it is in error, and what it's ultimate goal is, and in fact what their real thoughts on Redemption are. For when we are dealing with a subject as Vital as the Revelation of Jesus Christ, we are dealing with the Plan of Redemption and when men go into error concerning the Godhead or the Revelation of Jesus Christ it is because they do not understand God's plan of redemption and have come out from behind the protection of the Word.

Brother Branham taught us that all false teaching had its source in Genesis. The seed chapter, and in Revelations it exposes just what that seed that was planted back there really is. So as you see, it is quite an undertaking and it takes a lot of focus and waiting on God to place it correctly together in the right order and structure. Now with this in mind we shall begin our detailed study of these doctrines and why they

are false to the Revelation of Jesus Christ, as we know it and were taught by God's Vindicated Prophet.

As you may know, the first of these false doctrines to raise its head in recent years was this Female Holy Ghost Doctrine a few years back. But what has followed and has spread forth to a greater part of this message is this latest doctrine that the Fullness of the Godhead is now bodily in the Bride. And with this in mind I want to begin our study this morning with pp. 264 from **God's Only Provided Place of Worship** where Brother Branham said, *"No leaven among you, that brings the entire fullness of the Godhead bodily among you."* (Now, I want you to notice how carefully He said) *"Among you"* and not in you as many are saying today. *"No leaven among you, that brings the entire fullness of the Godhead bodily among you."* (I also want you to notice that he said, (**BODILY among you!** *"That brings the entire Fullness of the Godhead **BODILY** among you*). Now, he did not say **in** you, but among you. Then He qualifies this statement as we read on. *"Couldn't do it in Luther's age, couldn't do it in Wesley's age, couldn't do it in the Pentecostal age; but in the day when the Son of man will be manifested, revealed,"* So we see that this Fullness of the Godhead Bodily among you has to do with the Manifestation or the Revealing of the Son of Man. A Specific ministry for a specific time and for a specific people **through a specific person**. In other words, Vindication. Brother Branham continues by saying, *"**brought back** the Church together **with the entire Deity of God amongst His people, showing the same visible signs, manifesting Himself like He did at the beginning when He was manifested on earth**"* (So then we must ask ourselves, "How did He manifest Himself to us on earth?") And Brother Branham answers, *"in a form of a Prophet-God. Oh! Glory! Promised by Malachi 4, promised by the rest of the Scriptures. Where you worship? The house of God, seated (in present tense)"*

Now what we have here is a tremendous statement from Brother Branham in reference to The Revelation of Jesus Christ or shall we say (Godhead) **and** what God is doing in this hour. In other words, vindication which only comes in the form of His Presence among us. But what we have here also, is a statement that is being used by many that do not understand Godhead, and therefore they do not understand this (vindication or Presence) which is what God is doing here in this hour.

Now notice, he said, *"the Church together **with the entire Deity of God amongst His people, showing the same visible signs, manifesting Himself like He did at the beginning when He was manifested on earth."*** Now first of all, if the Fullness of the Godhead is now in the Bride as they are saying, then where is this manifestation and vindication today? If they can't produce, then they need to shut up. Now, in [**Malachi**] we see a Prophet coming in the Spirit and Power of Elijah and so in examining Elijah's ministry we see Him preaching One God. His Message to the people was a restoration of True Worship to the True God, Who alone is God. His ministry was to declare Him (God), that He is here! Just the same as Brother Branham's ministry was to *"**Declare Him, that He is Here!**"*

In [Luke 17], we see how the Pharisees in examining Jesus, asked Him where His Kingdom was, because they could not see it. He replied that It was in their midst and they could not see it. He said, "*I tell you, though you cannot see it, it is here among you*". I believe what Brother Branham was doing here as he did perhaps 80% of the time, was to point the people to this Presence of God which in itself is vindication. In fact, what He was telling us of God and His Presence among us is in fact found in other times and places in the scripture as types and shadows of this which was to come. But, because the people refuse to let their thinking go, they do not understand the Revelation of Jesus Christ as could only come through the End-Time Ministry of the Son of Man. Therefore they misapply vindication and the Presence of God, and must therefore come up with their own ideas of what Brother Branham's ministry was all about. After all, there was too much manifestation to simply do nothing with it. Therefore, as Nicodemus came to Jesus and said, "*we know you are a teacher sent from God for no man can do the things you are doing except God be with Him,*" and although the Sanhedrin knew this, they would not accept the teachings themselves. Why? Because it was not according to their mindset (their preconceived notions) as to what should be. In other words, what Jesus said to them was so totally foreign to their way of thinking, they could not grasp it. They concocted their own ideas of what His ministry was about, and in doing so, they eventually had to turn against it and say it was not of God and that He was a Blasphemer. Now, in this study we shall examine just what it is that they have concocted in their own minds as relating to the Revelation of Jesus Christ in this hour. Therefore, I wish to point out in this study **how these men think, what teaching methods they use** to subtly indoctrinate their prey into their Blasphemous beliefs, and ultimately reveal to you their idea of salvation which is apart from God's Holy Word.

Irenaeus said, "*I intend to bring forward the discourses of the Lord, so that they may not only be rendered destitute of the means of attacking us who Truly believe, but that, since they will be unable reasonably to reply to those questions which I shall put forth, they may see that their plan of argument is destroyed; And my hopes in doing this is so that, either returning to the truth, and humbling themselves, and ceasing from their multifarious fantasies, they may propitiate God for those blasphemies they have uttered against Him, and obtain salvation; or that, if they still persevere in that system of vainglory which has taken possession of their minds, they may at least find it necessary to change their kind of argument against us.*"

First of all, I want to make you aware of **their modes of teaching**. Without exception, it is my experience in hearing these men, that they will most always begin their sermon by going to the scriptures for a text. They will usually take one or two scriptures, seldom more, and read from the scriptures to open up their sermon. But from that point on it is very rare that they will go back to the scriptures to nail down their doctrines. What they do, is read quote after quote after quote from Brother Branham's Message and develop their doctrine in this way. Now, those of us who believe God Himself has come down in this Hour, and we who adhere to this True

Revelation of Jesus Christ, believe the Prophet's Message and Ministry was a declaration of the One God. He is the One Who has come down, and He is here vindicating His Shout or Message to be True. We who believe this usually begin our sermons with either the scripture or a statement that brother Branham has said. We will then use that for setting the stage for our sermon. For in doing this we are establishing a particular thought that brother Branham makes, then we go to the Scripture for proof, and then, in order to nail it down and give the people a scriptural understanding and appreciation for what Brother Branham is telling us, and seeing that He is a word Prophet, we take you to the Word of God that you might have a scriptural reason for believing God's Prophet.

Now, I know that these men will then say, Brother Branham's Message is the Word of God, and I do not disagree. But Brother Branham said, *Now, you must not misinterpret the Word. You say, "Well, I believe it means this." It means just what it says. It needs no interpreter. And you must not misplace the Word. And you must not dislocate the Word. And if we would do either of these, it throws the whole Bible in a confusion and in a chaos.*

Therefore we are looking at 3 must nots.

#1) We must not Misinterpret God's Word: This means to interpret wrongly, to understand or explain incorrectly.

#2) We must not Misplace God's Word: Which means to put it in the wrong place. To wrongly place or to improperly place. To take away from the proper, or natural position, time, or character.

#3) We must not Dislocate God's Word: Which means to upset the order or connection of the Word.

Brother Branham also told us that He only said what Paul had said, and Paul said "We must rightly divide the Word of Truth," and in the Second Church Age, Irenaeus said; *"there is an importance to the order and connection of the scripture."* Therefore, we must keep the True meaning of Scripture, and not render our own interpretations to it, hoping we have found some great deep secret of scripture that is not really there.

Now, Always remember, *"Alpha has become Omega,"* And what happened in the first church age must repeat in the last. And what took place in the first church age is, *they left their first Love*, and our first Love according to Deuteronomy is to Have One God, and Him alone shall we love with all our hearts and minds and souls. So if they went off in this understanding in the first age, won't they do so again in the last age when God once again visit's the earth to declare Himself in the Revelation of Jesus Christ? After all, it wasn't those who were God's Elect that went off but the church who went off. Therefore, to begin our study of these errors right within the ranks among the Message believers, we need to see what happened in the Alpha.

God who is Rich in Mercy 65-0119 *And now then, we find out that we have come to another Ephesians, another Ephesus now. ...We must have an Ephesians again. We must have an exodus to "come out" and to "go into," for the rapture.*

[Rev 2:1-5] *Unto the angel of the church of Ephesus write; These things saith he that holdeth the seven stars in his right hand, who walketh in the midst of the seven golden candlesticks; I know thy works, and thy labour, and thy patience, and how thou canst not bear them which are evil: and thou hast tried them which say they are apostles, and are not, and hast found them liars: And hast borne, and hast patience, and for my name's sake hast laboured, and hast not fainted. Nevertheless I have [somewhat] against thee, because thou hast left thy first love. Remember therefore from whence thou art fallen, and repent, and do the first works; or else I will come unto thee quickly, and will remove thy candlestick out of his place, except thou repent.*

Now, what I am trying to tell you is that what happened in the first Church Age must repeat. And to find out how the first church age ended we must actually look to the second church age to see what condition the church was in. Let me begin then by reading to you what Irenaeus Himself said from His 1st book entitled, **Irenaeus Against Heresies Book I**, concerning those who were propagating the same errors concerning The Godhead in the First church age.

*In as much (1) as **certain men have set the truth aside, and bring in** lying words and vain genealogies, which, as the apostle says, (2) "minister questions rather than godly edifying which is in faith," and by means of their **craftily-constructed plausibility's** draw away the minds of the inexperienced and take them captive, [I have felt constrained, my dear friend, to compose the following treatise in order to expose and counteract their machinations.] **These men falsify the oracles of God, and prove themselves evil interpreters of the good word of revelation.** They also overthrow the faith of many, by drawing them away, **under a pretense of [superior] knowledge**, from Him who rounded and adorned the universe; as if, in truth, they had something more excellent and sublime to reveal, than that God who created the heaven and the earth, and all things that are therein. Therefore, by means of specious and plausible words, they cunningly allure the simple-minded to inquire into their system; but they nevertheless clumsily destroy them, **while they initiate them into their blasphemous and impious opinions** respecting the Creation; (3) and these simple ones are unable, even in such a matter, to distinguish falsehood from truth.*

*2. **Error, indeed, is never set forth in its naked deformity, lest, being thus exposed, it should at once be detected. But it is craftily decked out in an attractive dress, so as, by its outward form, to make it appear to the inexperienced (ridiculous, as the expression may seem) more true than the truth itself.** "A clever imitation in glass casts contempt, as it were, on that precious jewel the emerald (which is most highly esteemed by some), **unless it come under the eye of one able to test and expose the counterfeit.** Or, again, what inexperienced person can with ease detect the presence of brass when it has been mixed up with silver?" Lest, therefore, through my neglect, some should be carried off, even as sheep are by wolves, while they perceive not the*

true character of these men, because they outwardly are covered with sheep's clothing (against whom the Lord has warned us to be on our guard), and because their language resembles ours, while their sentiments are very different, --I have deemed it my duty (after reading some of the Commentaries, as they call them, of the disciples of Valentinus, and after making myself acquainted with their tenets through personal intercourse with some of them) to unfold to thee, my friend, these portentous and profound mysteries, which do not fall within the range of every intellect, **because all have not sufficiently purged(6) their brains.** I do this, in order that thou, obtaining an acquaintance with these things, mayest in turn explain them to all those with whom thou art connected, and exhort them to avoid such an abyss of madness and of blasphemy against Christ. I intend, then, to the best of my ability, with brevity and clearness to set forth the opinions of those who are now promulgating heresy. I refer especially to the disciples of Ptolemaeus, whose school may be described as a bud from that of Valentinus. I shall also endeavour, according to my moderate ability, to furnish the means of overthrowing them, by showing how absurd and inconsistent with the truth are their statements. Not that I am practiced either in composition or eloquence; but my feeling of affection prompts me to make known to thee and all thy **companions those doctrines which have been kept in concealment until now**, but which are at last, through the goodness of God, brought to light. **"For there is nothing hidden which shall not be revealed, nor secret that shall not be made known."**(1)

From Chapter VIII. --[How the Valentinians pervert the Scriptures to support their own pious opinions.]

1. **Such, then, is their system, which neither the prophets announced, nor the Lord taught, nor the apostles delivered, but of which they boast that beyond all others they have a perfect knowledge.** They gather their views from other sources than the Scriptures; and, to use a common proverb, **they strive to weave ropes of sand**, while they endeavour to adapt with an air of probability to their own peculiar assertions the parables of the Lord, the sayings of the prophets, and the words of the apostles, in order that their scheme may not seem altogether without support. In doing so, however, **they disregard the order and the connection of the Scriptures, and so far as in them lies, dismember and destroy the truth.** By transferring passages, and dressing them up anew, and making one thing out of another, they succeed in deluding many through their wicked art in adapting the oracles of the Lord to their opinions. Their manner of acting is just as if one, when a beautiful image of a king has been constructed by some skillful artist out of precious jewels, should then take this likeness of the man all to pieces, should rearrange the gems, and so fit them together as to make them into the form of a dog or of a fox, and even that but poorly executed; and should then maintain and declare that this was the beautiful image of the king which the skillful artist constructed, pointing to the jewels which had been admirably fitted together by the first artist to form the image of the king, but have been with bad effect transferred by the latter one to the shape of a dog, and by **thus exhibiting the jewels, should deceive the ignorant who had no conception what a king's form was like**, and persuade them that that miserable likeness of the fox was,

in fact, the beautiful image of the king. In like manner do these persons patch together old wives' fables, and then endeavour, by violently drawing away from their proper connection, words, expressions, and parables whenever found, to adapt the oracles of God to their baseless fictions. We have already stated how far they proceed in this way with respect to the interior of the Fullness.

Now having read Irenaeus' description of their **practice** and their **teaching techniques**, we should be able first of all to see how it is that they are able to draw away people's minds from the Truth into error. Because the ignorant, as Irenaeus calls them, do not know the Truth and therefore open themselves to error. Which reminds me of one sister years ago who said, "*Since I don't understand, I can not be held responsible,*" but she was rebuffed by my good friend Brother Vayle who told her, "*On the contrary my sister, you are responsible, therefore you had better understand.*"

How many foolish people will try and twist brother Branham's words to make him say that you don't have to understand, when he explicitly tells us that the Elect and only the Elect will understand. For how can you believe without knowing what you believe?

Now, I hope you understand how important it is to know the Truth, for "**the Truth shall set you free.**" Not only should you know the Truth, but also you should love it, for if you do not love it, it is right there that you are placing yourself in a position to be deceived.

*[2 Thess 2:10-12] And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they **received not the love of the truth**, that they might be saved. And for this **cause God shall send them strong delusion**, that they should believe a lie: That they all might be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness*

And so we see here because they have not a love for the Truth God Himself will send them the strong delusion that will cause them to leave the Truth. Now if you think for one minute that you are more than able to resist what God sends, you had better not fool yourself. God is ever watchful of your attitudes. Remember, He knows the very inner most thoughts that you have. And you've got to love it with all your heart, with all your mind, and with all your soul, or you're scripturally open for deception.

*[1 Timothy 4:1-2] Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times **some shall depart from The Faith**, giving heed to **seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils; Speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their conscience seared with a hot iron;** Lies in hypocrisy, they know better but won't teach the truth. Now, the scriptures does not say **some shall depart** from faith, but from **The Faith**, and we know that faith is a Revelation, and since [Ephesians 4] tells us, **There is only One Lord and One Faith** or Revelation, then we know by various other scriptures that this One Revelation is*

the Revelation of Jesus Christ. And so the deception will have to be concerning this One True Revelation. Also it is to come via doctrines of devils. So the Doctrines will be error concerning the Godhead and they will produce error in the people. In other words, as it was in the days of Elijah, the false ministry of that day were successful in getting the people of Israel to worship God in a wrong way and thus to worship God outside of Truth is to actually worship other God's. That is why they worshipped Baal, which actually means Lord. So when they speak of worshipping the Lord, if their worship is based on wrong understanding, they are in fact worshipping as Lord, a wrong Lord, even Baal worship and the sad part is they don't even know it, and they won't listen.

Irenaeus pointed out that the *wrong connection of the scriptures will give a wrong presentation of the Only True God* and therefore will present a perverted Lord or Baal. In [John 4: 22] Jesus said, "*the gentiles worship what they know not,*" and He said, "*But we know what we worship,*" and that is the Key, you have got to know Him who you are worshipping or you will be lost in your unbelief. He further stated "*They that worship God must worship Him in Spirit and in Truth.*" And so apart from the truth your worship is in vain. You must worship God in Spirit and in Truth. Worship apart from a True Revelation is satanic, and God will not reveal anything without it coming via His Prophet. So, any revelation that comes outside of the vindicated channel of God is a part of the great deception.

In [1 John 3:12] We see that Cain slew His Brother Because his own works were evil. It did not say that the slaying itself was what was evil, but the reason he slew his brother is because his own works were evil. And what were those works? His offering to God *apart from a Vindicated Revelation*. He offered a first-fruit offering which was perfectly scriptural and in fact it was a resurrection offering, but it was out of season. And it is not that he did not know better. He named His first-born Enoch, which is the name of the first Raptured saint. But Cain's revelation and therefore his offering was actually considered evil because it was not the Revelation for the Hour, and in fact the Revelation that the Lord Himself descended with. If you will recall, The Lord descended with a shout in the Garden of Eden. And what did He reveal to Adam through this shout? That Because of the mingling of the serpent's blood with Eve, blood must be the atonement, and Cain refused God's vindicated Revelation for that hour.

In [Genesis 4:7] After we see that God rejected Cain's offering, He said to Cain, "*If thou do what is right, shalt thou not be accepted?* The Septuagint reads this way, "*If thou doest well, shalt thou not be accepted? Thou hast rightly offered, but thou hast not rightly divided.*" Notice how important God places on the right division of His Word. Cain's Revelation was rejected and considered Evil because of this.

This is why we find in [Galatians 1] and [2 Corinthians 11] Paul speaking so vehemently about the people just putting up with any old thing. He said it results in the preaching of a different Jesus altogether, and the scriptures being presented are

presented in such a perverted way that they take on a different nature, thus bringing forth a different spirit altogether. And that is what Irenaeus has shown in his illustrations of men who would make an image of a dog or fox and try to pass it off to the ignorant as the King Himself. Talk about ignorance. How can you mistake a dog for The King of Glory? Yet that is what has happened. Recently a world renowned minister from Canada was teaching this wrong understanding of the Fullness of God being in the Bride, and one of the younger people listening was asked, "**Who do you say the Bride is?**" This person replied, "**The Bride is God!**" I guess if you can get people to think they are God Himself, then you can get them to believe a dog is indeed the King. What a Mess! What a delusion! But God Himself promised it would come to this. Only the Very Elect would not be deceived.

As I have taught so often, Jesus Himself in the Scriptures declared, "**Be careful how you hear, for with what measure you measure it out, it is with that same measure you shall receive it back.**" And he also told the Serpent Seed that they filled up the measure of their fathers. Then He said to them, "**You do that which you understand through your father, and I only speak that which I understanding My Father to have said.**" So what we are dealing with here is a certain mindset that is being applied. Pharaoh looked out the same window Moses did, and he saw mud doobers while Moses saw the Children of a Promise. And so these men through their teaching methods and the way they connect the scriptures and quotes, are presenting error in it's naked deformity and the ignorant people, those lazy laodicean's who won't check it out for themselves, they believe what they are taught without so much as a challenge. Yet when those Elect, step forward to bring forth the Truth declaring the Revelation of Jesus Christ, that He is here, the lazy ones look upon them as misfits who have the wrong spirit. Well, it's True, we do have a different Spirit than what they have, and I will agree with them that we do have the wrong spirit for fitting in with their group. But we have the Spirit of the Lord, who has also been put out of the church in this day. And that's good company to be in.

Irenaeus said, **by wallowing in falsehood, they have lost the True Bread of Life or the bread of True life, and have fallen into vacuity**, (which is an emptiness of the mind) **and an abyss of shadow**. (An abyss is a bottomless pit without any foundation), And that is what their doctrine consists of. He said, "**They are like the dog of Aesops fables, which was walking across the bridge and had in it's mouth a nice piece of meat, but when he looked down in the water he saw his own reflection and therefore dropped the meat he had, and made an attempt at seizing what was only a reflection or a Shadow, and thus lost the real food.**"

[**2 John 8-9**] *Look to yourselves that we lose not those things which we have wrought, but that we receive a full reward. For whosoever goeth beyond the Limits of True Doctrine and does not remain in the Doctrine of Christ, hath not God. But He that remains in the Doctrine of Christ has both the Father and the Son."*

During these next two services, I would just like to set forth the manner in which we shall examine these doctrines which have deviated from the truth.

1. We shall try to explain and set forth the tenets of their Doctrine as well as their practice.
2. We shall try to relate to you how they think and teach
3. We shall prove that they had long previously swerved from the truth itself and we shall carefully
4. note the scriptures which they garble, with the view of adapting them to their own fictions.
5. We shall declare to you who the progenitor of their doctrine is.
6. We shall explain the nature of their "redemption," For after all, the nature of their redemption is tied into their doctrine.
7. We shall prove that there is but One God, the Creator, and that therein not anything either above Him, or after Him nor is He influenced by any one, but of His own free will, He created all things, since He is the only God, the only Lord, the only Creator, the only Father, alone containing all things, and Himself commanding all things into existence.
8. we shall show you the judgments of them who hold or suppress the Truth in un-right-wise-ness.

Let's begin now by examining **pt #1**. Here we will systematically look at these doctrines, their source and the reasoning behind them. In order to do this we must first understand how these men who profess such things think and teach.

As you know, Jesus warned us in [**Mark 4:24**] about being careful how we hear. To paraphrase His words so that you might understand it better, what He is saying is this... *"Take heed how you hear: for with what measuring instrument you use to portion it out, it will come back to your understanding by that same measuring instrument."*

Remember, *as a tree leaneth, so shall it fall*. And so we are warned to be ever so careful how we approach the Word of God. Paul said, he had to lay every thing he thought he had known aside when He had come face to face with the Pillar of Fire. And so must we forget everything we know and understand, even our approach to the Word of God itself in the light of a vindicated Prophet. Furthermore even Jesus said to the Pharisees in [**John 8:38**] *"I speak that which I have seen with My Father: and you do that which you have seen with your father."* In other words, He said, I only speak what I understand My Father to have said, but you do that which you understand from your father.

Now if you are to read on, it is very clear that they didn't like this rebuke and that He was referring to their father as Cain who was Serpent seed and a child of the Devil. But that is exactly what He said. *"I understand what My Father has said, and therefore I speak only what He said. But you guys do not understand what I am saying because we have definitely come from different fathers. And so you can only do what you have been taught to do and you can only respond in a way that suites your lineage, and therefore you reject me even as you reject My Father."*

We see the same thing in [1 John 3:1] *"The Father has bestowed upon us to be called sons of God, and Therefore the world knoweth us not, because it knew Him not."* and in [Matt 23:31-33] we read, *"Wherefore you be witnesses unto yourselves, that you are the children of them which killed the prophets. Fill ye up the measure of your fathers. You serpents, you lineage of vipers, how can you think to escape the damnation's of hell?"* And so we see an important principle here. These men are identified by Jesus to be one with their fathers which He claims are serpent seed. So if these men are serpent seed then they will think like serpent seed. And if you are the seed of God you will have to think like the Seed of God, Jesus Himself. Therefore, as Paul said, *"let this mind which was in Christ be in you."* And if His mind is in you, you will think the same thoughts as Jesus and you will only say what The Father has said. .

Now the way in which these men think does not run in continuity with the way we have been taught to think by Moses, Paul and William Branham, the three Prophets who came with the Revelation of Jesus Christ and were vindicated by the Pillar of Fire showing Gods presence was with them.

These other men want you to believe that God was both **Masculine-feminine** in nature and that the Masculine part was that of the Father, but that there was another part of the Father which was feminine by nature and that part is the Bride of Jesus Christ. This doctrine has been taken to the point of actually placing the fullness of the Godhead to be now in the Bride and therefore the Position and office of the Father has been done away with. Now they will not directly say so but by their manner of discourse and the illustrations they use and their mode of teaching all indicate that this is part of their thinking. **EX: 3 glasses-water, (father, Son Church). They empty out 1st then 2nd leaving only 3rd.** This in essence leaves only God in the Church, and no longer a God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.

So now we must ask ourselves, what is their mindset and why do they think and teach such things? And to answer this we must look at what Jesus did and then we shall find our answer as He most certainly did.

As we said before, Jesus pointed to their fathers as the source of their understanding. The Scripture teaches us to *"train up a child in the way he shall go and he shall not depart."* That goes for good and bad. In essence, that is the law of sowing and reaping. And so is Biological and Spiritual seed planting. If serpent Seed has

children, then the child will be serpent seed. If God has children then the Children will be God seed. But the question is, how do we identify whether a person is one or the other. And again the Scripture teaches, "*As a man thinketh in his heart, so is he*". And "*Out from the abundance of the heart the mouth speaketh*." And that is why Jesus called these men serpent seed for that which came out from their mouths identified who they were and who their father was.

Now we know that we are living in the day that the Son of Man was to be revealed, and we know that during this time the Son of Man went forth sowing and after He sowed other men went into the same field sowing their own seeds until both of the Seeds which were sown began to come forth into manifestation. The Two vines would be so intertwined until you could hardly recognize one from the other.

But brother Branham taught us that you would know them by their fruits, and as he said, "*their Fruit is their teaching of the season*." So the teaching of the season is what identifies who you are. By what you teach or what teaching you identify with determines who you are. In [Matt 24:24] we are told that the two will be so close that it would fool all except the very Elect. And how is this made possible? Because these men will be using the same words, that the correct teachers use, but with a twist. In [2 Peter 2:1] We are told they *will bring in alongside of the True doctrines, destructive heresies*, and so they must. In [2 Tim 4:1] We see how this actually causes others to fall away from the Truth.

We must ask ourselves, what is the thinking of their father. What were his thoughts and why did it cause such an uproar and warfare in heaven to begin with where he was eventually cast out of heaven with 1/3 of the angels that followed him.

Why did Jesus and John the Baptist and Paul and Peter and Jude and John and so many of the early church fathers constantly blast the opinions of his children in their day? Why? Because of the effect it was having among the people. A falling away had occurred in the Alpha. This falling away is sure to repeat itself in the Omega ministry of Christ as well. Why did Lucifer fall? Why was he cast out from among the brethren in heaven? *Because He tried to make Himself to be equal with God when he wasn't.*

[Isaiah 14:12-17] *How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! [How] art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High.*

And now what was it about him that caused this high opinion of Himself? What was there in this angel that he would so exalt himself to think that he could be God?

In [**Isaiah 14**] we saw Lucifer boasting of himself and how he wanted to take a situation where God had exalted him to a place that he exalted himself. He wanted to be like the most high. He got puffed up. Diagram of (God)

The Son of God, Christ the (Logos) **Rev3:14** The faithful and true witness, the beginning of the creation of God; came forth from God as firstborn of God. Then God continued to create all things through Him.

Col 1:16 For by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether [they be] thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him. And he is before all things, and by him all things consist.

Therefore, what we see come forth first is Life, god-Life in the form of a SON...**JOHN 5:26** *"For as the Father hath life in himself; so hath he given to the Son to have life in himself;"* The Dawn of beginning

Then we find Lucifer being the first created being and he is called **Isa 14:12-16** Lucifer, Son of the Morning,

PSALMS 36:9 *"For with thee [is] the fountain of life: in thy light shall we see light."*

The next thing we see after God giving birth to Himself a SON, He begins to create the Cherubims, Seraphims, and Angels.

And of course Lucifer was a cherub and He was anointed by God.

Ezek 28:12-15 *Thou art the anointed cherub and I have made set so.*

E-40 Show us the Father 54-0811 *Everything that God was, was put into Jesus Christ. He was co-equal with the Father. Is that right? Think it not robbery, but He was equal with the Father.*

E-36 Beginning and ending of Gentile Dispensation 55-0109E *"And at that time Michael shall stand, the great prince." Michael was Christ, of course, Who fought the angelic wars in heaven with the devil. Satan and Michael fought together, or fought against each other, rather. And now... And at that time," He said, "as many was found written in the book was delivered, and those who had done righteous..." Watch at this.*

E-12 God's Servant Job 55-0223 *But God putting Satan almost co-equal with Him, Satan taking the things of God and perverted them into the evil thoughts, and through there began to pervert things into evil instead of good. And God, as soon as the first thing was done, out of His great treasure chest of love, He had a plan of*

redemption, that quick. **E-13** Now, I believe that the... I do not believe that Satan is a creator. I believe that Satan is a perverter, but not a creator, 'cause God is the only One Who can create. And **in the beginning when he become a co-partner to God, the son of the morning**, and so forth, when he walked up-and-down a fiery brimstone, and so forth, that when **Satan perverted good to evil**, you know pervert to do anything.

[Ezek 28:12] Here we read, "*Thus saith the Lord GOD; **Thou sealest up the sum**, (Thou fillest up the sum - token - pattern, fullnes of wisdom, and **perfect in beauty. Thou hast been in Eden the garden of God**; every precious stone [was] thy covering, the sardius, topaz, and the diamond, the beryl, the onyx, and the jasper, the sapphire, the emerald, and the carbuncle, and gold: the workmanship of thy tabrets and of thy pipes was prepared in thee in the day that thou wast created. **Thou [art] the anointed cherub that covereth**; and **I have set thee so**): **In other words, every thing he had was given to him by God.** thou wast upon the holy mountain of God; thou hast walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire. **Thou [wast] perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee.** By the multitude of thy merchandise they have filled the midst of thee with violence, and **thou hast sinned**: therefore I will cast thee as **profane**-polluted- -perverted- out of the mountain of God: and I will destroy thee, **O covering cherub, from the midst of the stones of fire. Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness**: I will cast thee to the ground, I will lay thee before kings, that they may behold thee. Thou hast defiled thy sanctuaries by the multitude of **thine iniquities**, Herein lies the wonder of it all. Lucifer was the first creation of God. He was anointed by God. Anointed = mim-shakh to anoint, in the sense of expansion. In other words God gave him an elevated place. And what did he cover? Some say it was the Mercy seat, but since we do not have thus saith the Lord, we'll just leave that alone. But we do know he led the angels in worship along with Michael. **[Exodus 25:18,19,22]**. Now we know that Moses saw this Mercy seat in a vision, which showed the way it had been in heaven. **[Hebrews 8:5]** which is **[Exodus 25:40]** Notice further that this Lucifer was full of Brightness = yiphah, splendor, shining, brightness. this word is taken from the root of the word which describes God's Shining forth. **[Psalm 50:2]** & **[Psalm 102:4]** and here we see Satan as a bright and shining light as well. **[2 Cor 11: 13-15]** **[Luke 10:18]***

God describes him here as a Cherub that covereth and we know according to **[Hebrews 8:5]** that when Moses was commanded to make the ark of the covenant, He was to design it after the Pattern that God showed Him in the mountain, and so we see the Pattern as was established before the framing of the world, back in the beginning when there were two cherubs, (twins) who were leading the angels in worship, and according to brother Branham, One was Christ and the other was Lucifer. So we see that because he was made a priest he became puffed up, to the place where it got to him and he exalted Himself to be equal with the One he was ordained to lead the angels to worship. And so has it repeated itself throughout the

ages. Dathan and Korah were Levitical priests as well, and they became puffed up the same and wanted their opinions to be heard as well as that of Moses, the One God vindicated.

And in Paul's day we see the same thing repeat. In fact it has repeated throughout all the church ages because wherever there have been twins, there has been this exaltation to think they can worship God apart from God's only provided place of worship which is by Vindicated Revelation.

[**Rev 12:3-11**] Here we see a few more attributes of this originator of the corrupt seed. **#1)** In verse 3 we see that his tail drew a third of the stars. **#2)** we are told who this dragon is, he is called the devil or Satan, and he is also called a deceiver in verse 9. **#3)** Finally we see that there was a battle in heaven first, and it was with Michael.

Now let's look at these three points.

#1) This one is called a "**deceiver**" and he "**drew**" with his "**tail**" a third of the stars.

A) Deceiver: Planao = to go astray. to err, to lead away from the truth [**Matt 24:4,5,11,24**]

[**2 Tim 3:13**] [**Hebrews 3:10**] [**2 Peter 2:15**] [**1 John 3:7**] [**Eph 4:14**] [**2 Thes 2:11**]

B) Drew: Suro is a Greek word used of a net. [**John 21:8**] The Gospel net is a type of casting the

word upon the seas of humanity, and Jesus tells us the parable of this when he says that the

corrupt stuff will be cast out.

C) Tail [**Isa 9:15**] So we see here that it was the tale that Satan told. Then it was by what he said.

D) Stars: [**Job 38:7**] [**Psalms 148:3**], [**Isa 14:13**]

And so the picture we have is that Satan came forth and actually was a preacher in heaven. Did you know that? from PP. [**77 God of this Evil Age**] *Notice, Satan not called the god of any other age but this age. It was his ambition to be like God from the very beginning. Let's read that. We'll just take our time. Let's go back... Let's see; I got it wrote down, Isaiah 14. Let's go back here to Isaiah 14 just a minute and see what God said the god--what Satan did: Isaiah 14:12 and 14. Notice.*

*How art thou falling from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cast down to the ground, which did weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above **the stars of God**:... (**Sons of God**, now)... and I will set also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: **It was Satan's ambition to be worshipped like God. He took two-thirds--two-thirds of the stars of heaven, ascended himself above those stars, and preached to them, and deceived two-thirds of them.** You see it? All right. Notice, that's his ambition. And now he is ready with his careful selected, educated bride by his own knowledge (See?), all painted up in his deceit of big buildings, and big denominations, and paints of knowledge, and theology, and smart, and intellectual,*

*and educated, to deceive the whole world and become a god (That's what he's done.), all heading up into the person of the antichrist, which is already crowned the vicar of God by his worldly-loving, scientific bride, all dressed up in pomp of intellectual, religious education. She is made religious like him, and **by his own interpretation of the Word of God** as he did Eve, and as his son Cain did. ... Notice what he will do, **his thoughts**. He thought God dwelled in worldly beauty. He did that in heaven. Sin never begin in the garden of Eden; it begin in heaven when Lucifer, the son of the morning, exalted himself in beauty and wanted a more beautiful kingdom than that of Michael. And he thought that God dwelled in beauty. And **notice Cain**. He didn't want no blood sacrifice. He come down and offered the fruit or the fields of beauty upon his altar. **Very religious**, done everything that, just exactly like Abel done, **offered a sacrifice, fell down before God in worship, obedient in every way, but without the revelation of the Word. And the Word was from the beginning, God's plan**. But God revealed by revelation the very thing that He vindicated and punctuated that that was right: **not religion, not an altar, not belonging to church, not making a sacrifice, not being sincere, but by the revelation of the Word of God...***

E-17 Seal of the Anti-Christ 55-0311 *If you'll notice, just about the time that Jesus came on the scene, Judas came on the scene, the antichrist, the one was against Christ. I want you to notice the natures of these spirits now. Judas, was a religious man. He was not an infidel. And all of us know that he was the antichrist. He worked the very closest hand to Christ, His right hand man. **Did you know that in heaven, that the Devil was God's right hand man in the beginning, that Lucifer, the son of the morning, was given power?** And the reason that he brought sin into the world, **he was able to take something that God had created, and pervert it back into an evil thing**, which started all this trouble in the beginning. Then God, back before the foundation of the world was ever laid, when He seen what Satan done... In his pride went over in the north, and set up a kingdom, and fought against Michael and his Angels... Do you see it? **PP. 18 The right hand man of Almighty God was Lucifer, the son of the morning. The first, God granted him almost co-workers with Him; he was a co-worker, partly equal with Him; only Satan could not create.** God is the only Creator, but Satan took something that God created and perverted it back into something else, evil. And now notice, when Cain and Abel, a very beautiful picture of it... Cain and Abel was nothing more than the prefigure of Judas and Jesus.*

E-28 Lamb and the Dove 57-0325 *And the Holy Spirit falls into a meeting, and **sometimes the believer and unbeliever worship by the same Spirit**; but the fruit of the Spirit proves what you are (Uh-huh.), not the reaction of the Spirit,... and **the fruit of the Spirit is not outward demonstrations; it's an inward work of grace; love, joy, peace, long-suffering, goodness, faith, meekness, gentleness, patience; there you are.***

E-39 Queen of Sheba 60-0710 *You know, great bright things is what blinds the eyes of the people. Eve was looking for a new light; she got it. **The Bible said in the last***

*days "The devils would be... turn themselves into ministers of light." Look at the very first sin was ever performed, was Satan wanted a greater, a **brighter** kingdom--a great thing--and went over in the north and set his own kingdom up to **outshines** Michael. **It's always those bright things.***

E-21 Seal of God 61-0216 *Adam was the son of God. Bible said so. Then where did that jealousy come from? Where did that murderer come from? Where did all that kind of a spirit come from? Out of Adam? Couldn't have. Come from Satan. It's exactly where it comes from. Now, we find out he was the first murderer. And he was the first one to have jealousy. And **Lucifer got jealous of Michael**, brought it right down to the earth and transferred it into man. And Satan... Cain by taking on this evil, took the first mark. God marked him. No one was to kill him. Let him alone. **But you remember, as soon as He marked him, he went out from the Presence of God. Hold onto that now.***

58-172 Q& A 64-0823M *Satan was the most beautiful angel. He was a cherubim that overshadowed.*

1132-319 Q & A 64-0830M *Now, if you notice it's always been twins all along. There was... Cain and Abel were twins, and Esau and Jacob were twins. Jesus and Judas come out of the same tribe and in the same church. And **even the Holy Spirit and the antichrist is to be twins**, so close that would deceive the very elected if possible. Have you got it? All right.*

Marriage and Divorce V-3 N-13 65-0221M *Did you know **Satan was co-equal with God one day? Sure was, all but a creator**; he was everything, stood at the right hand of God in the heavens, a great leading cherubim.*

By now we should see how they think and why they think like they do and thus why their doctrines point to themselves and away from the Truth of the Godhead. As I said before, after hearing this Blasphemous doctrine that, "the fullness of the Godhead is now in the Bride", a laity member declared, "**The Bride is God!**" Now, I know that these men who teach this are too smart to come right out and say they are God, but they do so by misquoting scriptures and taking Brother Branham's sermons out of context and so they teach this to the people without coming right out and saying so. But the result of the pudding is in the eating thereof, and as Paul said, "**We don't need a written word of commendation because the people are a manifestation of what we are preaching.**" Now, I will grant the concession that there are mixed multitudes in this message and that some people are going to take the Truth and twist it up no matter how carefully you try to present it. But when people begin to make themselves God and to then make God To be Masculine and Feminine, then we would have to say we have come full circle because that is exactly what Irenaeus blasted the Gnostics about at the end of the first church age.

Since Brother Branham taught us that all false teaching had their source back in Genesis, it is evident therefore that this is the place where we shall begin.

Now, **concerning the false doctrine of the Female Holy Spirit**, those who teach it, begin by taking you back to the very beginning when the Spirit of God moved across the face of earth.

[Genesis 1:1-2] *In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth. And the earth was without form and void; and darkness was upon the face of the deep. And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters.* Now what they are doing here with this scripture is they are looking at this scene and in doing so we see the first time God begins to move out into some sort of manifestation. Here, they use a quote from Brother Branham to verify that this is indeed the metaphor in which God manifested Himself before the creations of the world in the form of a Dove.

E-54 The Impersonation of Christianity 57-0127.1 *Way back yonder in the beginning when God sent the Logos, which was the Son of God from the bosom of the Father, He begin to brood over the earth the Bible said. What is the word "brood"? What does it mean? Like mothering, like the hen, her brood is called her chickens, her offspring. And the Holy Spirit, as it was, with His arms or His wings brooding over a bleak earth, where there never had been life... And as He begin to brood, the waters begin to separate, and the land came up.*

Now they continue with this thought of the Holy Spirit like a dove and they try to establish that the dove is **always** looked upon as a female. **[Genesis 8: 8-9]** *Also he sent forth a dove from him, to see if the waters were abated from off the face of the ground; But the dove found no rest for the sole of her foot, and she returned unto him into the ark, for the waters were on the face of the whole earth: then he put forth his hand, and took her, and pulled her in unto him into the ark.* And finally they take you to Jesus Himself in order to make this seem as though it has a scriptural foundation. In **[Luke 13:34]** He is definitely engaged in a metaphor or illustration. He said, *"O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, which killest the prophets, and stonest them that are sent unto thee; how often would I have gathered thy children together, as a hen [doth gather] her brood under her wings, and ye would not!*

Now, we know better than to think that a parable or an illustration is actually literally to be taken as stated. If this is true, then why did Jesus say in **[Matthew 13:10-17]** that the very reason He used Parables or metaphors was so that only the Elect would understand and all others would actually be thrown off by them. Now, liberal theology will take the parables or metaphors verbatim as He said them And if we are as liberal in our doctrine as these men must be, then we would find Jesus literally declaring to all that He is actually a **she**, and in fact **some sort of a large mother chicken**.

There can be no wonder why Rush Limbaugh feels he can take on the Liberals with half of his brain tied behind his back. That is about the most stupid thing I ever heard. Jesus did not say He was a mother chicken, He said, **AS** a mother Hen would gather so would He have liked to do so in the same manner.

Now, with their doctrine established by these simple metaphors taken literally, they then proceed to look at other times this She Dove appears. And actually they feel by this time that they have nailed down the point that a dove represents female attributes. And so we then see them begin to declare that in fact the dove represents female attributes and also the dove represents the Holy Spirit. Again they go to the scripture and twist it in a manner as to assert this theory. [**Matt 3:16-4:1**] *And Jesus, when he was baptized, went up straightway out of the water: and, lo, the heavens were opened unto him, and he saw the Spirit of God descending like a dove, and lighting upon him: And lo a voice from heaven, saying, This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased. Then was Jesus led up of the Spirit into the wilderness to be tempted of the devil.* Now here we see how far they go to stretch the Truth. The scripture plainly says that the Holy Spirit came like a dove would come down. It does not say it was a dove but that it's descent was in the same manner or likeness that a dove would descend. In other words, it came down very gently and slowing lighting upon Him. And even if it had a shape that would be similar to that of a dove, so what. But it is also strange that these same men will agree that it was the voice of the Father that stated *"This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased."* At this point they conclude that this is where the spirit of God was rent in two and the female attributes of God came down. But if that is so, then Why do they believe this voice that spake is that of the Father, for He definitely said, *This is my beloved Son, **In WHOM [I] am well pleased***, or as brother Branham so often quoted, *"This is my beloved Son, **In WHOM I am pleased to dwell in.**"* So then if this is indeed the voice of the Father, then it is at this point that the Father has come down to incarnate His Son. Not mother, but Father. Now to me this is shear evidence enough that these men do not understand the Godhead.

Now to this point we see that these men use the dove as a central point to declare that God has somehow sent forth the female part of Himself represented to earth in the form of a dove, but called the Holy Spirit.

From pp. 264 from **God's Only Provided Place of Worship** Brother Branham said, *"**No leaven among you, that brings the entire fullness of the Godhead bodily among you.**"* So we can see from this statement that it is among those who do not consort to leaven where the Fullness of God will dwell. But those that must consort to such leaven as these blasphemous doctrines, can not in no wise understand this fullness which is among the people.

Now in viewing this leaven which they project forth as a dove and female attributes of God, let's just go back in history to see where this originated. From **page 79 - 80** of [**Hislop's Two Babylon's**], we read... { *"**Juno, "--the name of the Roman "queen***

*of heaven," which has the very same meaning; and under the form of a dove as well as her own, she was worshipped by the Babylonians. The dove, the chosen symbol of this deified queen, is commonly represented with an olive branch in her mouth, as she herself in her human form also is seen bearing the olive branch in her hand; and from this form of representing her, it is highly probable that she has derived the name by which she is commonly known, for "Z'emir-amit' means "The branch-bearer." * When the goddess was thus represented as the Dove with the olive branch, there can be no doubt that the symbol had partly reference to the story of the flood; but there was much more in the symbol than a mere memorial of that great event. "A branch," as had been already proved, was the symbol of the deified son, and when the deified mother was represented as a Dove, what could the meaning of this representation be but just to identify her with the Spirit of all grace, that brooded, dove-like, over the deep at the creation; for in the sculptures at Nineveh, as we have seen, the wings and tail of the dove represented the third member of the idolatrous Assyrian trinity. In confirmation of this view, it must be stated that the Assyrian "Juno," or "The Virgin Venus," as she was called, was identified with the air. Thus Julius Firmicus says:--"The Assyrians and part of the Africans wish the air to have the supremacy of the elements, for they have consecrated this same [element] under the name of Juno, or the Virgin Venus." Why was air thus identified with Juno, whose symbol was that of the third person of the Assyrian trinity? Why, but because in Chaldee the same word which signifies the air signifies also the "Holy Ghost." In accordance with this character of Juno as the incarnation of the Divine Spirit, the source of life, and also as the goddess of the air... as Juno, the "Dove"--in other words, the Holy Spirit incarnate. }*

And what is the So-called Bride doing today? Trying to become worshipped as the So-called Holy Spirit incarnate. I hope you can see where this is all coming from and where it is going. From Pg. 303 of Hislop's Two Babylon's we again read ... {*The bearing of all this upon the question of the identification of Cybele and Astarte, or Venus, is important. Fundamentally, there was but one goddess--the Holy Spirit, represented as female, when the distinction of sex was wickedly ascribed in the Godhead, through a perversion of the great Scripture idea, that all the children of God are at once begotten of the Father, and born of the Spirit; and under this idea, the Spirit of God, as Mother, was represented under the form of a dove, in memory of the fact that that Spirit, at the creation, "fluttered"--for so, as I have observed, is the exact meaning of the term in Gen. i. 2--"on the face of the waters." This goddess, then, was called Ops, "the flutterer," or Juno, "The Dove,"*

Now, in the first church age they left their first love which according to the Church Age Book was their Love for the Name of God and all that goes with that name, namely God Himself, therefore, Godhead. So we see that in leaving their first love, men left the true understanding of One God Who had a Son and had Himself come into that Son and therefore Vindicated the Revelation of that Son, which is the Revelation of Jesus Christ. Paul had said they were worshipping another Jesus and using the same Gospel but presenting it in a perverted manner and thus the people

heard a Gospel of a different nature and were receiving a Spirit of a different nature as well. This was all the beginnings of the Great deception.

In the next church Age Irenaeus came along and nailed it down sure enough that the people had gone back into Idolatry and pagan worship but all the while trying to prove their doctrine using the scriptures along with their old wives tails. In His **First Book against the Heresies**, He wrote of their beliefs as this, "*Out from **Bythus** who is the Propator and **Ennoea** also known as **Sige** (Silence).*

*They also teach that **Nous** also called (Monogenes) the Father of all came forth with his female counterpart, **Aletheia**, And from their union came forth **Logos and Zoe** and from their union came forth **Theletos** (derived from Theios) which means divine or God, and **Ecclesia**(preacher). And of course the Propator created separately a **Christ and Spiritus another male and female couple which represents Christ and the Holy Spirit**. And so Irenaeus declared, " For there is a necessity in their opinion, **that a female Aeon should exist side by side with a male one**, inasmuch as she is, so to speak, **[the forthputting of] his affection.**" "while, at the same time, they endow Him with human affections and passions. But if they had known the Scriptures, and been taught by the truth, they would have known, beyond doubt, that God is not as men are; and that His thoughts are not like the thoughts of men.(2) For the Father of all is at a vast distance from those affections and passions which operate among men.*

1. *They maintain, then, that in the invisible and ineffable heights above there exists a certain perfect, pre-existent Aeon,(4) whom they call **Proarche, Propator, and Bythus**, and describe as being invisible and incomprehensible. Eternal and unbegotten, he remained throughout innumerable cycles of ages in profound serenity and quiescence. There existed along with **him Ennoea, whom they also call Charis and Sige.** (5) At last this Bythus determined to send forth from himself the beginning of all things, and deposited this production (which he had resolved to bring forth) in his contemporary Sige, even as seed is deposited in the womb. **She then, having received this seed, and becoming pregnant, gave birth to Nous, who was both similar and equal to him who had produced him, and was alone capable of comprehending his father's greatness.** This Nous they call also **Monogenes**, and Father, and the Beginning of all Things. Along with him was also produced **Aletheia**; and these four constituted the first and first-begotten Pythagorean **Tetrad**, which they also denominate the root of all things. For there are **first Bythus and Sige, and then Nous and Aletheia.** And Monogenes, perceiving for what purpose he had been produced, also himself sent forth **Logos and Zoe**, being the father of all those who were to come after him, and the beginning and fashioning of the entire Fullness. By the conjunction of Logos and Zoe were brought forth **Anthropos and Ecclesia**; and thus was formed the first-begotten Ogdoad, the root and substance of all things, called among them by four names, viz., Bythus, and Nous, and Logos, and Anthropos. **For each of these is masculo-feminine, as follows:** Propator united by a conjunction*

with his Ennoea; then Monogenes, that is Nous, with Aletheia; Logos with Zoe, and Anthropos with Ecclesia.

4. The Father afterwards produces, in his own image, by means of Monogenes, the above-mentioned Horos, without conjunction,(2) masculo-feminine. For they maintain that sometimes the Father acts in conjunction with Sige, but that at other times he shows himself independent both of male and female. They term this Horos both Stauros and Lytrotes, and Carpistes, and Horothetes, and Metagoges.

(3) And by this Horos they declare that Sophia was purified and established, while she was also restored to her proper conjunction. For her enthymesis (or inborn idea) having been taken away from her, along with its supervening passion, she herself certainly remained within the fullness; but her thoughts, with its passion, was separated from her by Horos, fenced off, and expelled from that circle. This thought was, no doubt, a spiritual substance, possessing some of the natural tendencies of an Aeon, but at the same time shapeless and without form, because it had received nothing. And on this account they say that it was an imbecile and feminine production.

5. After this substance had been placed outside of the fullness of the Aeons, and its mother restored to her proper conjunction, they tell us that Monogenes, acting in accordance with the prudent forethought of the Father, gave origin to another conjugal pair, namely Christ and the Holy Spirit (lest any of the Aeons should fall into a calamity similar to that of Sophia), for the purpose of fortifying and strengthening the fullness, and who at the same time completed the number of the Aeons. Christ then instructed them as to the nature of their conjunction, and taught them that those who possessed a comprehension of the Unbegotten were sufficient for themselves.(7) He also announced among them what related to the knowledge of the Father,--namely, that he cannot be understood or comprehended, nor so much as seen or heard, except in so far as he is known by Monogenes only. And the reason why the rest of the Aeons possess perpetual existence is found in that part of the Father's nature which is incomprehensible; but the reason of their origin and formation was situated in that which may be comprehended regarding him, that is, in the Son.(8) Christ, then, who had just been produced, effected these things among them.

6. But the Holy Spirit taught them to give thanks on being all rendered equal among themselves, and led them to a state of true repose. Thus, then, they tell us that the Aeons were constituted equal to each other in form and sentiment, so that all became as Nous, and Logos, and Anthropos, and Christus. The female Aeons, too, became all as Aletheia, and Zoe, and Spiritus, and Ecclesia. Everything, then, being thus established, and brought into a state of perfect rest, they next tell us that these beings sang praises with great joy to the Propator, who himself shared in the abounding exaltation. Then, out of gratitude for the great benefit which had been conferred on them, the whole Pleroma of the Aeons, with one design and desire, and

*with the concurrence of Christ and the Holy Spirit, their Father also setting the seal of His approval on their conduct, brought together whatever each one had in himself of the greatest beauty and preciousness; and uniting all these contributions so as skillfully to blend the whole, **they produced, to the honor and glory of Bythus, a being of most perfect beauty, the very star of the Fullness, and the perfect fruit of it, namely Jesus. Him they also speak of under the name of Savior, and Christ, and patronymically, Logos, and Everything, because He was formed from the contributions of all.** And then we are told that, by way of honor, angels of the same nature as Himself were simultaneously produced, to act as His body-guard.*

Now, it would not be fair of me to tell you these things that are being taught without knowing first hand what is being said. Too many times we hear from a source that is twice or more removed pertaining to what is being said, and when we check it out for ourselves, we find out that some how the person was misquoted. But when you put it in writing and sign your name to it, you are placing it out there for the world to see. And the danger in this is you had better be right, or else you will be guilty of teaching others error. So with this in mind, we shall read verbatim what has been written and said, concerning this Female Holy Ghost doctrine.

From the *Golden Grain Publication, a publication by a minister in this message*, we read as follows.

"THE MOTHER DOVE"

"(The Feminine Attributes of God)"

*"In the New Testament the first emblem under which we see the Holy Spirit is the Dove descending upon the head of Jesus when John the Baptist baptized Him. **"And Jesus, when He was baptized, went straightway out of the water: and lo, the heavens were opened unto Him, and He saw the Spirit of God descending like a dove, and lighting upon Him."** (**Matthew 3:16**)*

*When we go back to Genesis in the Old Testament we find also that the first emblem under which the Holy Spirit is presented is also that of a dove. **" The earth was without form, and void: and darkness was upon the face of the deep. And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters."** (**Genesis 1:2**) ..."*

He continues, ...*"Noted Hebraists concur that this is the figure of THE MOTHER DOVE brooding over her nest and cherishing her young. The scene is one of chaos, desolation and seething waters of an earth in a state of flood in a starless night. It was then that THE MOTHER DOVE of eternal love and peace began to build her nest, and she rested not until out of that scene of wreck and ruin emerged a restored earth. The scene is one of a mother hen brooding over her nest. ..."* He goes on to write... *"In [**Genesis 8**] we read of another scene of desolation and ruin. The waters of the deluge are sweeping over the earth. This is 20 centuries later and countless millions of people are floating in death and being splashed about by the horrible waves of that flood. In that judgment only eight souls were saved! ..."*

He continues by writing..."*In the flood of Noah's day we read again concerning the figure of a dove. "And it came to pass, at the end of forty days, that Noah opened the window of the Ark which he had made: and sent forth a raven, which went to and fro, until the waters were dried up from the earth." "Also he sent forth a dove from him, to see if the waters were abated from off the face of the ground: but the dove found no rest for the sole of HER (Notice how here he capitalizes HER) foot, and SHE (notice again that he capitalizes SHE) returned unto him in the Ark, for the waters were on the face of the whole earth: then he put forth his hand, and took HER (Again, he capitalizes HER) and pulled HER (capitalized) in unto him in the Ark." " And he stayed yet another seven days, and again he sent forth the dove out of the Ark: and the dove come in to him in the evening: and, lo, in HER (capitalized) mouth was an olive leaf, plucked off: so Noah knew that the waters were abated off the earth." " And he stayed yet another seven days, and sent forth the dove, which returned not again unto him any more." (verses 6-11) ...*

He continues.... *It is obvious and easy to see and understand that the dove is also a figure of the Holy Spirit in a feminine, motherly role. The first thought is that of motherhood.* (Now, what I would like to know is this, "whose first thought" after reading this is motherhood. For crying out loud, what is this message coming too any way. He says, *It is obvious and easy to see and understand.* For whom? I'm sorry but I don't see it! So I guess that would make me unspiritual in their books, or according to their understanding. Well, let's continue with this **Doctrine** of theirs and I hope you see why I'm hitting this.

Now, as we piece by piece dismantle this teaching, let's first focus our attention on this portion of their doctrine which has to do with typing a dove to the Holy Spirit. First I also want to say that you and I have no right to making up our own types. I have heard ministers in this Message even type Pinocchio and even door knobs as the Bride. I don't know where they get that stuff, but we are warned in the following Scripture to avoid old wives fables and endless genealogies because they will cause you to turn away from the Truth.

[**1 Timothy 1:4**] *Neither give heed to fables and endless genealogies, which minister questions, rather than godly edifying which is in faith: [so do].*

[**1 Timothy 4: 7**] *But refuse profane and old wives' fables, and exercise thyself [rather] unto godliness.*

[**2 Timothy 4: 4**] *And they shall turn away [their] ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables.*

[**Titus 1:14**] *Not giving heed to Jewish fables, and commandments of men, that turn from the truth.*

[**2 Peter 1:16**] *For we have not followed cunningly devised fables, when we made known unto you the power and coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, but were eyewitnesses of his majesty.*

Only a Vindicated Prophet with Thus Saith the Lord has a right to use types and shadows. And if we use them we can only use what has been shown to us for our use by God's Vindicated Prophet or we could be in danger of leaving the Truth. You must be careful about metaphors and parables because they are used to throw people off rather than to teach in depth truth. That's what Jesus said in [**Matthew 13:10 - 17**]. *"So that in seeing they would not understand, and in hearing they would not perceive what was being said."*

Years ago I heard a preacher typing Snow White with the Bride and the Seven Dwarfs with the Seven Church Age Messengers. When I was told this I asked, *"If that is the case, then which Messenger was Dopey?"* Needless to say, we haven't had too many Ministers in our pulpit ever since. I could name on one hand the number we have had in the past ten years.

I have come to the place where I don't see too many out there any way, who can stand in the face of this Revelation and reflect it back to you without going off into something that is completely insane and foolish. [**Malachi 1:10**] NIV *Oh, that one of you would shut the temple door, so that you would not light useless fires on My altar!*

Now, the first thing I want you to notice is that Brother Branham never says SHE or HER in reference to the Holy Spirit. He does use the illustration of a mother hen brooding over her chicks but he says HE, not SHE, in describing the Holy Spirit. And again he says, God sent forth His SON, the Logos and HE begin to brood over the earth.

IMPERSONATION OF CHRISTIANITY 57-0127A E-54 *Way back yonder in the beginning when God sent the Logos, which was the Son of God from the bosom of the Father, He begin to brood over the earth the Bible said. What is the word "brood"? What does it mean? Like mothering, like the hen, her brood is called her chickens, her offspring. And the Holy Spirit, as it was, with His arms or His wings brooding over a bleak earth, where there never had been life... And as He begin to brood, the waters begin to separate, and the land came up.*

Brother Branham never says what these ministers claim, nor does Brother Branham even come close to giving you the same thinking as these ministers do.

Now, if you'll notice, they continue with this thought of the Holy Spirit like a dove and try to establish that the dove is always looked upon as female. And so these ministers use [**Genesis 8:8-9**] where it speaks of Noah and the dove being sent froth from the Ark. And finally they take you to Jesus Himself in order to make this seem

as though it has a scriptural foundation in [Luke 13:34] where He is definitely engaged in a metaphor. But the conclusion they want you to draw by their assertions is this, The Father remained above but the Mother Entered into Him. The Female part of God. Yuk! Now to this point we see that these men use the dove as a central point to declare that God has some how sent forth the female part of Himself represented to earth in the form of a dove, but is called the Holy Spirit.

[John 1:16] *"And of His (Fullness) have we received, and Grace for Grace. "* Now, notice here it does not say we are, but we have received from this Fullness Grace.

[Ephesians 1:22-23] *to the church, Which is his body, the fullness of him that filleth all in all.* Now this next verse can be quite tricky if you only take part of it. If you read verse 23 you will ask yourself who is this fullness and then if you go back to verse 22 you see it is mentioning the church just before it says which is His body, the fullness of Him that filleth all in all. And so it would appear that the Church is His fullness. But that is not what this scripture says here. Let's go to verse 17 and begin here.

[Ephesians 1:17-23] *That the God of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Father of glory, may give unto you the spirit of wisdom and revelation in the knowledge of him: The eyes of your understanding being enlightened; that ye may know what is the hope of his calling, and what the riches of the glory of his inheritance in the saints, And what [is] the exceeding greatness of his power to us-ward who believe, according to the working of his mighty power, Which he wrought in Christ, when he raised him from the dead, and set [him] at his own right hand in the heavenly [places], Far above all principality, and power, and might, and dominion, and every name that is named, not only in this world, but also in that which is to come: And hath put all [things] under his feet, and gave him [to be] the head over all [things] to the church, Which is his body, the (Fullness) fullness of him that filleth all in all.* Notice that it is not the church but The Son that was given to be the head over all except of course the Father Who is the Head of the Son. And since the Father is the Head even of the So, then Who is this Fullness speaking of?

[1 Corinthians 15:27-28] *But when He saith all things are put under Him, it is manifest that He is excepted, which did put all things under Him, And when all things shall be subdued unto Him, then shall the Son also Himself be subject under Him, that God may be all in all.* So who then will be reigning? God will. The Pillar of Fire will be above the Lamb in the New Jerusalem. So you can see that all this mumbo jumbo that places the preeminence in the Bride is nothing but latter reign false doctrine which for years has been piping " we shall reign, for we are kings and priests." And what they want to do is to reign outside of Him. They then need to devise some way to get rid of Him who is our Father. It's the same spirit that is in the Menendez brothers. Kill the Father to get the inheritance.

Jesus even told a parable of the Land owner who lent out his fields to men and then in harvest he sent men to gather what was due Him. But the men killed off those whom the Father sent and so He sent His only begotten son. When the men saw Him they plotted to kill him thinking, " If we kill the Son then the inheritance will be ours." And that is what these doctrines are doing by way of men's desires. They have a desire to do away with the Father and the Son and so they preach a doctrine that places everything in the church. But just because they desire it doesn't mean that it will happen, and just because they preach it doesn't mean it will come to pass. That's the same mentality that says, if we start a rumor it will happen. Or if you build it, he will come. Or, the broom fell across the door so company is on their way. Old wives fables. Phooey!

[Ephesians 4:13] *Till we all come in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fullness of Christ:*

[Colossians 1:19] *For it pleased [the Father] that in him should all fullness dwell;*

[Colossians 2:9] *For in him dwelleth all the fullness of the Godhead bodily.*

Now, we have read every scripture where this word for Fullness is used and not one tells us that the Fullness is to be in the church. In fact the last couple tells us quite plainly that the entire fullness was only contained in one Man and that was in Christ Jesus.

#1) And So, If the fullness is now in the church as they say, then all that was in the Father must be in them as well. Then not only will all power be in them, but all knowledge of all things and all creatorial powers and even all healing and if all knowledge of all things, then tell me when will the rapture take place, what day, what month, what year even. Because Jesus said, no man knoweth the day or hour, and if this is so then all knowledge is not in them. Then if all knowledge is not in them neither is all power. And you see where you are at? What Good is it to try and make yourself God if you are lacking His Wisdom, Knowledge and Power.

#2) If all that is in the Father has been poured into the church so that the Father is entirely emptied out, then the church is greater than the Father, for that which contains is greater than that which is contained.

#3) If all that was in the Father is now in the church, so as to say ***God has become emptied out***, then the only God there is today is in the church. Then that which is incorruptible has become corruptible which is just the opposite from what the scripture tells us that corruptible shall put on incorruptible. Now the scripture does not say to put in, but to put on. To put in is to place inside, but the scripture says corruption shall put **on**, which is outside. Which is a dressing, or a container, and since the container is greater than that which is contained, it is necessary that an outer

dressing be placed over this mantle of death that it might become incorruptible. Then that which actually takes over our being is greater than our being.

Now in getting back to *This other minister's Publication*, we find him use the same arguments that the Gnostics used back in Irenaeus' day where they tried to attribute all the displays of those attributes which dealt with the putting forth of affection to a female personality or person who was part of the Fullness. This other minister then quotes a Trinitarian and refers to the Greek word Paracletos, which is used in the scriptures in describing the Holy Spirit as the great Comforter which Jesus said He would send, and his wording leads one to believe that bringing comfort is only a female attribute. He also explains that this word Paracletos is used as the word, **advocate** in [**1 John 2:1**] and then he misquotes the scripture here and says, " The Holy Spirit is, indeed, as has been said, "*The Mother-Principle in the Godhead,*" and "*as One whom His Mother Comforteth.*" But a closer reading of [**1 John 2:1**] that is quoted here, speaks only of a Loving Father, who gives comfort. "*and if any man sin, we have an advocate (Paracletos) with the FATHER, Jesus Christ the Righteous.* But This other minister says, "*The Greek word Paracletos can not be translated by the word comfort, or be taken as the name of an abstract influence. The Comforter, instructor, Patron, Guide, Advocate, and even MOTHER, which this term brings before us, is a person. Not a different person, but the same person who is also Father and the same One "Who gave Himself birth to this son."* And so we see, just as in the first church age, these men tried to equate any word or metaphor which God's Holy Word used in describing God's relationship to man that happened to be a characteristic found in women, they would use this to try and establish that indeed, God not only had feminine attributes, but that He indeed had a feminine other Half that was equal to Him. And so we see words which have to do with birth or being born or nursing a child or gathering a brood are quickly latched upon and utilized by them to establish some scriptural credibility for their doctrine.

But if the Scripture speaks of the Holy spirit as being feminine, then why does [**Romans 8:11**] say, *But if the Spirit of HIM that raised up Jesus from the dead dwelleth in you, HE that raised up Jesus from the dead shall also quicken your mortal body."*

This other minister goes on to speak about motherhood, and says, *Human fatherhood expresses a need which is met in God in the role as Father. But MOTHERHOOD HAS IT'S ORIGIN IN THE HOLY SPIRIT.*

Now, a statement like that is clear enough evidence that this man does not understand Godhead, for this is double speak here. He goes on to say, *In the regeneration of the soul, Jesus in [**John 3**], describes it as a NEW BIRTH. " Marvel not that I said unto thee, ye must be born again." It is the Holy Spirit in the role of a mother that gives us that NEW BIRTH! Even the guidance and nurture of the Holy Spirit is described in the language taken from the nursery and the home. The comfort of the Holy Spirit is described under the very image of a mother's caresses and a*

mother's love. " And He quotes [**Isaiah 66:13**] "*As one whom his mother comforteth, so will I comfort you, and ye shall be comforted, saith the Lord. Notice again the word "as" speaks of a metaphor only.* " But I want you to notice that nowhere does this man bring in other scriptures that would totally annihilate this theory, for in [**2 Corinthians 1:3**] we read, "*Blessed be God, even the FATHER of our Lord Jesus Christ, The FATHER of mercies, and the God of all Comfort;* And again in [**1 Thessalonians 2:13**] '*As ye know how that we exhorted and COMFORTED and charged every one of you, AS a FATHER doth HIS children.*

It is indeed tragic to see men work so hard to try and establish their doctrines without much thought. When men try to establish birth as a woman thing, they must throw out the door the fact that Life comes from the Male. The female has no Seed of her own in which Life is contained. She has a piece of protoplasm that the Life is injected into. But to place the woman as the center of the bringing forth of Life shows a total disregard for the source of that Life. He continues by saying, *As we have previously stated, Eve typed the bride-church. That is why on the day of Pentecost the mother-attributes that were in Christ in the form of the 'paraclete' were poured out on the believers 'as one whom his mother comforteth.'* *This Mother-Spirit through seven church ages was bringing to birth the bride of Jesus Christ. To be "born again" is the language of the pediatric ward where children are being birthed by their mothers.* (then He quotes Jesus in rebuking Nicodemus for not knowing these things, as if He is really laying it on to those of us who are just too un-spiritual because we can not see what he is saying here.) And yet if you check out what happened in the scripture, Jesus told Nicodemus that He had to be born again, and he thought in terms of a woman and a mother, his own mother, and so Jesus rebuked him for being so stupid as to think in terms of motherhood!

Now, remember what Irenaeus said, concerning those who tried to attribute feminine attributes to the putting forth of the affections? Notice it wasn't the affection itself, but the manner in which it was displayed. And so we hear this other minister say, *When we speak of feminine attributes in God, we are not speaking of biological sex at all. Feminine and masculine spirits are different. They are evident in the voice, in mannerisms, in body language and expression. The feminine spirit in the form of attributes were also in God. How else could he be EL-SHADDAI, the breasted One?*

I don't want to sound crude here, but all this talk about women and nursing and Bosom's seems to be really grasping for straws. Women are not the only human beings who have breast's. And just because the Scripture calls Him EL-SHADDAI or The breasted One, doesn't place feminine qualities in God. In fact I've seen some men who had larger breasts than a lot of women. And furthermore, in [**John 1:18**] we read, *No man hath seen God at any time; the only begotten Son, which is in the BOSOM of the Father, He hath declared Him.*" So the Father has a bosom. It didn't say He was in the bosom of the Mother did it?

And furthermore, in [**Number 11:12**] we hear Moses say, *Have I conceived all this people? Have I begotten them, that thou shouldest say unto me, Carry them in thy Bosom, as a Nursing FATHER beareth the sucking child, unto the land which thou swarest unto their FATHERS?* Now it is interesting to see how the word of God works. Because this word used here for the word to nurse is figurative only. The word is actually used most of the time in the Bible as the word to believe, or belief and since it is tied in with a metaphor of a FATHER who nurses His Child, we see then it has to do with sustaining Life. Even brother Branham nursed his son Billy Paul from an old coke bottle with a nipple over the top. So don't tell me that a reference to the Breasted One is evidence of a feminine attribute.

By this point This other minister has seems to have exhaust his sources of back up scriptures and quotes and basically just begins stretching out what he has already used, to kind of make the shoe fit, but it doesn't wash well at all. He begins to wind down with the following words, *"The people of the Lord need the comforting touch of Mother God as well as the authority and headship of Father God. He fills both roles.* I think we can trace the error to a misunderstanding of Godhead. This other minister says, *" as we previously stated, the TWO spirits were in Adam, the first Bridegroom in Genesis One until God separated them in Genesis Two. These TWO spirits were also in the second Adam, and He too was a BrideGroom.* Now, we know that Adam did not have two spirits in Him and neither did God. If He did then which One is the Holy Spirit and what is the name of the other One? What This other minister does not understand is that *God is Spirit* (Singular) *and they that worship HIM must worship HIM in Spirit and Truth!*

He tries to tell us that God had two Spirits, one that came into His Son and the other one into the church. That does not agree with Scripture. The scripture tells us, "by ONE spirit we are all baptized into one Body." One Spirit, not Two.

In fact in the following quote brother Branham tells us that there was but one spirit So in effect we are dealing with Life. The Life of the male human is no different than the life in the female human. It is human life. Bovine Life is The same whether it is in the cow or in the bull. It is Bovine life. Hog life is hog life whether it is the sow or the bore. The same with Canine life. Canine life is the same whether it is in the dog or the Bitch. Canine life is canine life. From the message [**Ye must be Born again, 58-06-19**] Brother Branham said, *"Where Adam is more the burly type, for he was the man, the masculine type. The woman was feminine, but it's the same Spirit, for He took her from Adam.*

But what these men do not understand is that when Brother Branham is referring to attributes of God, He is speaking of God's thoughts. And so if Brother Branham says, that there were feminine attributes, he is saying that God had thoughts of having a wife. In talking to this other minister a couple years back when he wrote this I explained this to him. I told him that when you are dealing with Life you are not dealing with feminine nor masculine, but Life. And I said, I had thoughts of having

a wife but that did not make me part female and neither does it make me part female because I had three daughters, because after all the Life is in the male and if I conceived three daughters, then the life in these daughters had to come forth from me. In [John 6:63] Jesus said, "**My Words are Spirit and they are Life.**" [John 1:4] said, "**In Him was Life and the Life was the Light of Men.**" And even when that Life was expressed it did not come forth in a female representation, it came forth as a Male because a male is the carrier of Life. [1 John 1:1-2] *That which was from the beginning, which we have heard, which we have seen with our eyes, which we have looked upon and our hands have handled, of the Word of Life; (For the Life was manifested, and we have seen it, and bear witness, and shew unto you that eternal Life, which was manifested unto us;)* and in verse 3 he says, *for truly our fellowship is with the Father and His Son Jesus Christ.* No where does it mention that God manifests Himself in a feminine role. That is ludicrous.

Finally I would like to read a few quotes from Brother Branham that This other minister also uses, but of which you will see that when you deal with the thoughts of Life and that God's Attributes are His thoughts, then you will see there is no deep secret mystery that takes a Dr. To figure out, but that God simply hides Himself in Simplicity and He is the author and Giver of Eternal Life. That is not another Life, but it is His very own Life. That doesn't make us Him, no more than my girls are me. It just means that my life and my nature and my characteristics and my attributes runs through their very veins.

29-2 Christ is the Mystery 63-0728 *Now you see the secret? What to? --to restore fallen Eve, As she was a free figure of the church. And now notice, as God opened up the side of Adam and took out Eve by his own flesh and blood, and divided his spirit from masculine to feminine-- and put it in Eve, took the rib from under his side and made Eve out of it; so God did the same thing, taking out of the side of Christ the Blood and the water, (and **Christ is the Word**), and **taking the Word and making up His Church.*** (So you see he is not talking about a separate feminine spirit out from God, but His very own Word which is the carrier of His very own Life. The Word is a seed according to Jesus and Wm. Branham and a seed by definition is a carrier of Life.)

17-5 The Rising of the Son -- 65-0418 *And if He, being the Groom, the Bride has to come forth, 'cause It's part of Him. And It can only be the manifestation of the fulfilling of all of revelations and others that spoke of the Bride! And It can only manifest... And if It does something different from the Groom, it isn't the Bride, because She's flesh of His flesh, bone of His bone, Life of His Life, power of His Power. She is Him! As man and woman are one, and woman taken from his side, She had taken His Spirit (the feminine spirit from Him), the flesh from His side, made both mechanics and Dynamics, the Wife--**the Spirit of Him** and the flesh of Him and put it together and made mechanics and Dynamics. (Now I know that Brother Branham uses the words "feminine spirit from him" but he is not saying it is a different spirit from what Jesus has. He says it is the same spirit it is just in the Bride*

that's all. My daughters have my same Life in them, but they are not male, they are feminine.

Remember, you must be careful how you hear for with what you use to try and measure out this word is what measure you'll end up with. And if you are looking for a female Holy Spirit you'll certainly find one somewhere. I don't know how holy it will be, but you'll find it.)

51 The Seed shall not be Heir - 65-0429 *For, when God separated Adam, he was both. We find out, Adam was spirit, to begin with. "He made man in His Own image, created He male and female." And then, Genesis 2 and on, He created man in... out of the dust of the earth. Man was created in God's image (God is a Spirit), so he's a spirit man. Then when he become flesh man, animal flesh on the earth, He is showing, portraying here the Bride. He never taken and made another being, but **he took part of Adam**, the original creation, took from him, a rib from his side; and took the feminish spirit out of Adam, left the masculine spirit in there, and placed it in the feminish part. Therefore, part of his spirit, part of his body; flesh of his flesh, bone of his bone; **Word of His Word, Life of His Life, and that's the way the Bride is to Christ!** So how is the Bride to Christ? Let's say it together,... (**Word of His Word, Life of His Life,**)*

E-31 God Keeps His Word --57-0120 *"I believe that Jesus is the Son of God. I believe that in His body He was a man. He was borned of a woman, just like I was. But His Blood was not of a woman, It was of God. And Jehovah God, the Logos, who brood over the earth, in my message this morning, and brood the first man out of the dust of the earth, overshadowed Mary, and brood over her to fulfill His Word, and created the Blood cell that brought forth the Son of the living God. I believe in a body He was man. I believe in His soul He was God. He was God's manifestation here on earth. God was in Christ, reconciling Him... the world to Himself. Now, notice how brother Branham uses the metaphor of God Brooding over Mary to fulfill His Word, yet we know it was The same Holy Ghost That is Jesus Father. So how can This other minister use this word brood as only depicting a female Spirit of God? What good would a female Holy Ghost have done Mary who also is a female? Woman can't beget with women. It takes a Seed carrier, a male role.*

34-6 The Rising of the Son 65-0418 *Now, notice this Quickening Power, Zoe, bringing the Word, the mind that was in Christ was in you then. I'm trying to show you that you, when God raised Jesus from the dead, He raised up you also, and also quickened to Life with Him (you are now quickened to Life), although then you were but an attribute in His thoughts, but God had saw all in Him at the finish. See? When God looked down upon the body... (The Spirit left Him in the Garden of Gethsemane; He had to die a man.) Remember friends, He didn't have to do that. That was God. God anointed that flesh, which was human flesh, and He didn't have... If He'd went up there as God, He'd have never died that kind of death; can't kill God. He didn't have to do it, but remember, **He went there with you in Him. See? God***

*had never separated the Bride from the Groom yet. So when God looked down upon the Body of Christ, **He saw both male and female.** It was all redeemed on that one Body. See? They are one, the same Word. the same Word spoke of the Groom speaks of the Bride! Again we see Brother Branham telling us that we are One by the same Word. He said, the same Word spoke of the Groom speaks of the Bride. He is not speaking of some perverted doctrine that wants to attribute to Christ some filthy half-male half-female personality. One Preacher down South who also teaches this doctrine said, "**the reason that Jesus had long hair was that He was both male and female,**" and then he quotes this passage from Brother Branham. I imagine Brother Branham did a few roll over in his grave when he heard that one.)*

(Now, you can't make this say anything but what he said here. He is not saying that Jesus was both Male and Female, or Jesus would have had a perverted spirit, because brother Branham said, on page 17 in His Message [**Serpent Seed**] 58-09028 *When you see a man, little, you know, manicuring (or whatever you call it) his fingernails, (four on one side and five on the other), slicking his hair down, holding his mouth open in front, and such stuff as that--one of these pretty boys--you just remember, Sissy, something is wrong with that bird! There's something wrong! You better keep your eye on him.* And from his Message [**El Shaddai**] 59-0416 he said, "*You know, Jesus wasn't a sissy by no means. He wasn't a feminish type person; He was man. But yet, He could speak right straight to the point, but yet, with gentleness.*

I hope this morning you will have seen by the multitude of examples, that **number one** there will be men who will arise with perverted teachings that will seduce the weak in this hour just as there was the same back in Alpha. **Secondly** I hope you will examine closer the Alpha ministry of Christ because if you don't you will surely perish and become seduced yourself by some strange doctrine.

Thirdly, I hope you will appreciate more the fact that we here do not open our doors to just anybody, because we have a grave responsibility to God and His Word and to you as His People.

Finally I would like to say this. With each and every doctrine that the hearts of man devise, there is always a motive behind it all. Just as we showed to you Wednesday night the motive behind that false doctrine that purports that the fullness of God is now in the Bride, we showed that Lucifer himself was the progenitor of that false doctrine and that the hidden motive was a desire to be worshipped.

These men want to place everything in the church, and yet they want to be head of that church. Thus, making them superior to the Body of Christ. They want to claim **John 14:12** for themselves, and do not realize that John 14:12 does not speak of the entire Body of Christ. It speaks of a One-man ministry, and that was fulfilled in William Branham. Even Brother Branham himself told us so, after the Seals were preached, for those who do not hold stock in what came before the Seals. He said, on page 171 of [**Super Sign**] 63-1129 *It's on your hands, tonight, throwed right back in*

your lap! **Hebrews 13:8**, regardless of how many Council of Churches we have, still remains as "Jesus Christ the same yesterday, today, and forever." **"The works that I do!" John 14:12** If--if His Life is in you, it'll manifest Jesus Christ. Amen. Now you **all can't be that one**, but you can be a part of that, with your faith. All of them couldn't be a Jesus, when they was on earth, but they could believe Him and accept the message. There was **one Moses, one Elijah, one Noah**, on down, always been that, but the rest of them could accept it. And they would a--had no ministry unless there had been somebody believe it. Jesus would a--had no ministry unless somebody believed it. Paul would a--had no ministry unless somebody believed it.

[Identification]64-0216 A lady asked me, coming down the road the other day from up here, she said... It's Florence Shakarian, Brother Demos's sister-Sister Williams and them, sitting' in the car. She said, "Brother Branham, I fast, and fast, and fast, and still I can't cast out devils." I said, "**You wasn't born to do such. Your duty is to fast. The Holy Spirit's working on somebody else out there for that.**" You don't know the place.....If we had time we'd teach those things in long meetings, how one person's burdened like this for something over here. You don't know... **it's not for you to know.** It's Him doing it. You're just submissive to your call. Always line it up with the scriptures, to see if it's right or not.

And now with his in Mind, let's just bow our hearts and our heads in a Word of Thanksgiving to Him who has not only quickened us to the Day of His Visitation, but to the Word of Life from which our strength, and Peace and Rest comes.
(Let's bow our heads)

" Dear Gracious Father, You Whom we can truly call you Father and in Whom we have our Life and Breath and even our being, we thank you Father for Being our Father. When the World is going after Mother Earth, and Mother Nature and Mother this and Mother that, we are thankful to have a Father in You who are also the Author and giver of Eternal Life. Thank you for your tender loving hand in watching over us and thank you for giving us an unction from your very loins, and an understanding of Who you are and why you are here. Be with us now Father as we go our separate ways, and protect us over the Holiday season that is coming up for we do ask these requests in the most precious name of your Loving Son Who we understand is our Older Brother, The Lord Jesus Christ Who also came to earth bearing your name. And we know that one day we shall also bear your name as well. Thank you once again, Father, for you Truly are our Father. Amen!"