

**#42 Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce**

**1** Sunday afternoon I received an email from a Pastor in Trinidad, concerning the Church Age Book, and something he purports to be errors, not understanding that the book was authored by Brother Branham but thinking it was authored by Br. Vayle.

**2** Foreign Pastor, if you listen to this sermon, I am giving you my comments to your statements in this sermon. As you know, I have had a very close relationship with Brother Vayle and since he has been my mentor for 30 of the 32 I have known him, I feel that I can perhaps help you with an insiders understanding of how the Church Age Book was written.

**3** As Luke said in his Gospel, "Forasmuch as many have taken in hand to set forth in order a declaration of those things which are most surely believed among us, 2 Even as they delivered them unto us, which from the beginning were eyewitnesses, and ministers of the word; 3 It seemed good to me also, having had perfect understanding of all things from the very first, to write unto thee in order, 4 That thou mightest know the certainty of those things, wherein thou hast been instructed."

**4** And believe me, brothers and sisters. There is a gross error being taught in the Message today, and that is that the Church Age Book was brother Vayle's Book. It was not brother Vayle's Book, it was brother Branham's book. It was spoken by brother Branham, and it was edited by brother Branham.

**5** Now, to answer your questions that you have asked, I will leave your comments in Violet to distinguish them from my own words which I will be using normal black which is normal print color. The Bible verses will be represented in Red representing the blood of Jesus Christ, and those of Brother Branham in Blue since Blue represents trueness as taught by William Branham. Those are the color schemes for all my sermons as well. Pastor Brian Kocourek

**6** Foreign Pastor States: Discourse on the Seven Church Age Book grammarized version by Lee Vayle errors exposed.

I am delighted that you can represent Brother Lee Vayle in doctrinal issues concerning the 7 church age book (C.A.B.) in a friendly discourse between both of us. As you indicated in your letter dated 02-08-08 this subject has been long outstanding amongst message believers and ministers one of our editors contacted Dr. Vayle on the subject of the Baptism of the Holy Ghost and new birth, several years ago. His answer by telephone was vague and suggestive. He referred us to you as his representative, but we never pursued that option until this time when you sought to defend Dr. Vayle by my correction to his doctrine on the seventh seal/seven thunders. We also noticed on Q. & A. on your website that Dr. Vayle authorised you to answer all questions and to solicit his help if the need arises. I wish that you will contact Dr. Vayle on the issues that I would raise since he is the author of the - C.A.B. End of Foreign Pastor Statement:

**7** Pastor Kocourek response: Now, I would just like to stop here for a moment and reiterate that this is where they begin their error, in assuming that brother Vayle was the author of the Church Age Book. He was not the author, he was a writer who transcribed brother Branham's words, and then grammarized them to make them read more clearly. Brother Vayle took brother Branham's sermon on the Church Ages, and he was commissioned by brother Branham to write the book, to grammarize it. But he was not the author nor was he the finisher of that

book. Brother Branham was the author, editor and finisher of that Book. And actually he thought to do the book almost 6 years before he actually preached the series in 1960. In 1954 brother Branham first spoke the sermon the seven church ages, and at that time he wished to put it in book form but felt limited in his grammar to do so effectively. Now, that book continues to be the most sought after book in the message and has been since it was first published. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**8** Foreign Pastor States: My humble request is that we keep our discussions in the written Word of God, backed up by the message of Malachi 4:5-6, and also laying aside popular and personal opinions, even as you published on your website:

**9** "Quote Pastor Kocourek: "Therefore, all answers that I give will either come from Scripture or words from Brother Branham and I will not give you my own opinion unless that opinion can be backed up solidly by Scripture and God's End-Time prophet William Branham. To answer your questions correctly I can not have an opinion of my own. My opinion must be either what God said through His Son or through His servant William Branham. Now, if any listening to this tape have a problem with that, then that is your problem. I know that I will give an account to God for my Words, and I just hope you know that you will one day give an account to God for yours as well." (Questions And Answers no. 1 Why do so many misunderstand the Message?). "

**10** Well spoken Pastor Kocourek. I stand just the same on those principles. I trust that you will be faithful to your published policy

**11** Our communication for the past years has been one of a Christian-like spirit of love and respect. I endeavour to maintain this same spirit in our discourse, as I do not get into arguments on doctrinal matters, though I stand very firm on the truth and exposition of heresies, reproving and rebuking with all longsuffering and doctrine (2 Timothy 4: 2).

**12** I am addressing you and Dr. Vayle to defend my refutations on the above subjects, seeing that you are representing Dr. Vayle's ministry by his authority.

**13** Some have rejected the entire book as unscriptural and not the authentic teachings of the prophet, and others believe all that were documented are the teachings of the prophet. End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**14** Pastor Kocourek responds: Foreign Pastor, those who would ascribe the Seven Church Age Book as unscriptural and not the authentic teachings of William Branham are ignorant of the facts concerning the writing of the book itself. There is even one International minister who will lie and say that brother Branham told him to burn the book. I have heard this lie first hand from this brother and I know he does not tell the truth, but has set forth to try to destroy the Church Age Book even as he has tried to destroy the Branham family and brother Branham's ministry as well, all the while making millions of dollars from selling brother Branham's books and tapes.

**15** Now, Brother Willard Collins, pastor of the Branham Tabernacle in Jeffersonville, Indiana told me in 1979 that he went to brother Branham about some concerns from some ministers thinking that there were some of Br. Vayle's own thoughts in this book which were not brother Branham's. Brother Branham assured Br. Collins that he would again read the Church age Book from cover to cover and check out that claim. He said that he had read everything as it was grammarized in the book before the final publishing, but would re-read it from cover to cover to make sure nothing was overlooked. He came back to brother Collins and told him everything in the Church Age Book was correct and "Thus Saith the Lord." Br. Branham also confirmed with Br. Sydney Jackson from South Africa the same thing, that everything in the book was "Thus Saith the Lord." End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**16** Br. A Foreign Pastor states: My position, as editor of E.O.D.H., is that certain major doctrinal issues are inconsistent with the teachings of the prophet, unscriptural and erroneous. This is the basis of our discourse.

**17** I make myself absolutely clear that I am not challenging or correcting any error on the original church age teachings of Brother Branham, but defending his revelation of the message. My discourse is solemnly based upon the errors injected into the grammarized version of the C.A.B. by Dr. Lee Vayle. It will be a lie for anyone to say or believe that this discourse aims at correcting the prophet's teaching. End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**18** Pastor Kocourek responds: Now Foreign Pastor, I do not say this to be mean spirited, but since you think there are inconsistencies in the Church Age Book, that only means you do not understand the doctrine itself. And since you are an outsider and do not understand how the Church Age Book was written, and how brother Branham edited every word in that book, I will tell you how it was compiled so that your doubts may flee with some inside knowledge.

**19** First of all the Church Age book was not brother Vayle's Book, and Brother Vayle takes no credit with the contents of the book. Brother Branham actually wanted to write it years before he even spoke it. He spoke it so the people could have access to it sooner. He simply did what brother Branham commissioned him to do and that was to grammarize it. Therefore to grammarize the book, wording and sentence structure will be changed some, but will not undo the doctrine itself, but can actually enhance it to make it more clear. That is brother Branham's book. He spoke it, brother Vayle wrote what brother Branham spoke, then brother Branham edited everything written in that book and thoroughly reviewed it several times as well. So if you want to take umbrage with it, you will have to do so with brother Branham on the other side.

**20** Secondly the Church Age Book was written, a section at a time, and brother Branham went through each section with brother Sothmann and some others who were present as witnesses to his editing of the book. As brother Branham read each paragraph, he marked up any words that he felt should be altered to best fit his understanding. He inserted words like any editor would do, and deleted those things which he did not feel were exactly as he wanted it to read.

**21** Word by Word he read it quite a few times, and edited what he wanted in or out of it. Then he would comment to brother Vayle to add this or that to a particular section here or there.

**22** Here is a quote from brother Branham in 1965 talking about how he and brother Vayle worked on the Church Age book together. Doors in door 65-0206 P:14 Now, does anyone in here know Doctor Lee Vayle? I don't think... Maybe not. He was a Baptist preacher, Doctor of Divinity, and he's got his degrees. He was a high school teacher to begin with, and he's a very fine, scholarly man. (I would just like to insert here that Lee Vayle did not have a Doctor of Divinity from any bible school. He had been a school teacher and back in those days all that took was a few weeks post high school and a test to do so. He received his title of Doctor of Divinity from Br. Branham himself.) And my tapes of "The Seven Church Ages," I sent them to him to grammarize them. Because my old Kentucky "hit, hain't, and tote, and carry, and fetch," that don't go good for people who reads the books, so he was going to grammarize it for me. And then, after he got through, sent it back a couple times for more statements. Which the book is going to press now after about three or four years. He asked me, he said, "Can I write a book, just my comments?" And I said, "Well, it's all right, Brother Lee." And I thought...Then he said, "I'm going to tell you something." Said, "It's not to be sold; given away." I said, "Well, then, I'm sure that's all right." See?

**23** Foreign Pastor, notice that brother Branham said he sent brother Vayle his tapes to grammarize. Notice also that after he grammarized each section he would send it to brother Branham and it went back and forth a couple times where brother Branham would make edits and comments to be inserted in the text.

**24** Again brother Branham said in August of 1964, from Questions and answers COD 64-0823E P:139 My Brother Jackson returns now, way back down into South Africa. Go with him and his wife; be with them, Lord. Guide them on their journey. Here's Brother Lee Vayle writing, putting every word that I say down, trying to make the book. O God, help Brother Vayle and Sister Vayle.

**25** Now, for those who say he inserted his own words, is to contradict what the prophet of God said himself, when he said Br. Vayle putting every word that I say down...So who are we going to believe, men who would fuss with the doctrine in that book that was totally controlled by the prophet of God, or the prophet of God himself.

**26** Again we see in his sermon Proving His Word 64-0816 P:23 brother Branham calling out for br. Vayle in this service to communicate to him to add some other things to the book before printing. "Is Dr. Lee Vayle in this morning? I wanted to ask if Dr. Lee Vayle... Are you here, Brother Vayle? Raise up your hand if you are. Is he in the back? All right, thank you, Brother Roy. And I want you to be sure to check those notes, Brother Vayle. You're somewhere in the crowds back there; I can't see, or in the hall. We have to watch, can't let too many stand, the fire marshal won't let us do that (You see?), and so we are... I want you to check my revelation on Serpent's Seed, to be injected into the first, Ephesian Church Age that he's writing, re-grammarizing it for me. Beautifully done! And I want you to check that and let somebody say something against the Serpent's Seed now, whether it was right, or not. So the Lord just gave it to me yesterday. See? Oh, it's beyond...How I get a message, I'll be going along and something strikes me. Then... And if I know it's God, I'll take it over and find it in the Scripture. Then I have... It's never failed, but from Genesis to Revelations run true, no matter what people think about It. And it's been more so than ever since those Seven Seals. See? That did it that time. So the Lord bless you now as we study. Now, in respects to the Word..."

**27** Notice the date of this sermon, 1964 right before the publication of the book, and notice that brother Branham is asking Br. Vayle to add some notes that he gave to brother Vayle about the serpent seed and place them in the Ephesian Church Age where the Serpent seed is talked about. This should show that there were still items being given to Brother Vayle for publishing way after the original series was preached in 1960.

**28** There are other examples on tape, but you can look those up yourself. Now, I have given you three quotes here from brother Branham saying that brother Vayle wrote only what he brother Branham had said. To me and anyone who is looking at these quotes with a fair and balanced mind, it shows that brother Branham was on top of everything being written in Church Age Book. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**29** Foreign Pastor states: I firmly declare that the revelation of the seven church age given to Malachi 4: 5-6 is "Thus saith the Lord", but that does not include all the errors that were injected into the grammerized version of the seven church age book. End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**30** Pastor Kocourek Responds: I hope by now, brother A Foreign Pastor that you can see by the quotes themselves and the testimonies of others written (by Sister Rebeccah Branham Smith, daughter of Brother Branham in her hand article, "whose book is it" concerning the Church Age Book, as well as the video testimony of Rev. Willard Collins, Pastor of the Branham Tabernacle, Jeffersonville Indiana, as well as the spoken testimony of Sydney Jackson, as well as my conversation with brother Collins in 1979, that brother Branham spoke what was in that book on tape and in person to Br. Vayle via personal audio tapes and written communications. Brother Vayle was commissioned by Brother Branham to write down his words and grammarize them for a better reading, and then brother Branham had the final edit of the book itself, and he took total responsibility for everything in the Church Age Book, If you can not see that by now, we need to end our discourse for you are not listening or reading this discourse with an open mind, but with a mind already poisoned by your own or some else's opinions. However for the sake of others who may have a sincere desire to know, I shall endeavour to finish our discourse on the next the first of the three items you have brought to my attention to

show how far off basis your thinking was based on your false assumption that brother Branham was not the author of his own book. Proving that William Branham was the author of his own Church Age Book nullifies all your questions unless you are so base as to openly challenge this Vindicated Prophet of God. Further more, knowing that your mind did not see the doctrine correctly that you are challenging in Brother Branham's Church Age Book, it is now up to you to repent of your error and teach it the way it is written in the Book and the Bible as I have shown you. End of Pastor Kocourek Response:

**31** Foreign Pastor states: At this present time I will address three major, unscriptural, doctrines which were injected into the C.A.B. by Dr. Vayle, based on the subjects of:

**32** 1) Marriage and divorce (C.A.B. page 104).

2) The New Birth and the Baptism of the Holy Ghost are one and the same (C.A.B. page 139-148) with various other related subjects.

3) Seven thunders to be revealed by Malachi 4: 5-6 (C.A.B. page 325).

ERROR # 1 -- MARRIAGE & DIVORCE-c.a.b. page 104

**33** Quotes Dr. Lee Vayle from Church Ages Book: 104-1 ...people ask me, "If Eve fell that way, what did Adam do, for God lays the blame on Adam?"... Now the Word teaches us that if a woman leaves her husband and goes with another man she is an adulteress and is no longer married and the husband is not to take her back. That Word was true in Eden as it was true when Moses wrote it in the law. The Word can't change. Adam took her back. He knew exactly what he was doing, but he did it any way. (Ephesian Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.3).

**34** Brother Vayle is dealing with a very scriptural question. That is, if Eve committed adultery in the Garden of Eden, and that was the forbidden fruit then what was the sin of Adam? His indication is that Adam also committed adultery with his own wife. End of Foreign Pastor statement:

**35** Pastor Kocourek responds: First of all Foreign Pastor, I must say your language is quite stinging, and very strong and accusatorial for one who says that he wishes to communicate with Christian love and respect. You might want to study up on what love and respect are before you commit to doing this discourse with love and respect. One who loves and respects uses words that intone a desire to come together for a better meeting of the minds, that you might understand certain things that may not be clear to you at this present time. Love covers a multitude of sin, and never accuses.

**36** 1 Corinthians 13:4 KJV Love suffereth long, and is kind; love envieth not; love vaunteth not itself, is not puffed up, 5 Doth not behave itself unseemly, seeketh not her own, is not easily provoked, thinketh no evil;6 Rejoiceth not in iniquity, but rejoiceth in the truth; 7 Beareth all things, believeth all things, hopeth all things, endureth all things.

**37** NIV 1 Corinthians 13: 4 Love is patient, love is kind. It does not envy, it does not boast, it is not proud. 5 It is not rude, it is not self-seeking, it is not easily angered, it keeps no record of wrongs. 6 Love does not delight in evil but rejoices with the truth. 7 It always protects, always trusts, always hopes, always perseveres.

**38** Secondly, Since Love is not rude, and love is not self seeking, and love keeps no records of wrongs, I would suggest in the future you might avoid words that provoke, are rude, self seeking, and not kind. Such as your

accusations that the Church Book is in error, mislocated, dislocated, and etc.

**39** Now, to get down to business Foreign Pastor, you stated, "brother Vayle indicated that Adam also committed adultery with his own wife." Now, to get that out of what was written in the Church Age book by Brother Branham himself, shows that you are not reading it to learn but to find fault, and to read that you have to be assuming, and of course your assumptions are wrong. You assume this says that the sin of Adam was committing adultery with his own wife, but that is not what was said at all. A man can not commit adultery with his own wife. Sin is unbelief, sin is the transgression of God's Law. Therefore, Adam wilfully disobeyed God. He was told not to do a certain thing and he did it anyway, therefore his sin was not adultery, but his sin was disobedience to God.

**40** Romans 5: 19 For as by one man's disobedience many were made sinners, so by the obedience of one shall many be made righteous. So Paul Himself tells us Adam's sin was his disobedience to God.

**41** 1 John 3:4 Whosoever committeth sin transgresseth also the law: for sin is the transgression of the law.

Hebrews 2: 2 For if the word spoken by angels was steadfast, and every transgression and disobedience received a just recompence of reward;

1 Timothy 2: 14 And Adam was not deceived, but the woman being deceived was in the transgression.

**42** Romans 5: 14 Nevertheless death reigned from Adam to Moses, even over them that had not sinned after the similitude of Adam's transgression, who is the figure of him that was to come. greek: parabasis from 3845; violation:--breaking, transgression. Adam's transgression was the breaking of God's command. That's Disobedience.

**43** In the following several quotes from Brother Branham we see him say the same thing as Paul did in Scripture. Perfection 57-0419 P:5 Now, in the garden of Eden... God had Adam and Eve in the garden and they sinned and transgressed the laws of God by sinning, disobedience. And when transgression comes, disobedience is the transgression of the law. And the law of God, Him being holy, just unadulterated holy, therefore no spot of unholiness can ever stand in His Presence. So if sin came in the world by transgression, then sin must be dealt with before the sinner can ever stand in the Presence of God.

**44** Fellowship 56-0212 P:55 And I see Eve and Adam standing there, heard their sentence of death pronounced upon them, and the tears running down Adam's cheeks and dropping off onto Eve's head, and her with her little head laying over on his shoulder, and they had to depart out of the Presence of God, standing there wrapped in those old bloody sheepskins. And as they started out of the garden in the Presence of God, I can hear them old sheepskins flapping together on their legs like that, as they went out. God, the Father, looking down and seeing His children being turned out like that because of disobedience, He stopped and He couldn't stand it. He said, "I'll enmity between her seed and--and the serpent's seed; and his head will bruise her heel, and her heel shall bruise his head," promising a Deliverer. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**45** Foreign Pastor states: I am saying that the teaching and explanation that he gave on the subject, cannot be supported by the Word of God. The scripture that he indicated to support his case does not support it. He dislocated, misplaced and misinterpreted the word of God which the prophet commanded us not to do. {Ref. Pg. 72 Christ Revealed In His Own Word 65-0822m}. I will address this error under 3 sections: A-B-C.

**46** A. If a woman leaves her husband and goes with another man she is an adulteress and is no longer married. End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**47** Pastor Kocourek responds: Foreign Pastor, you are in error with scripture when you say the following. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**48** Foreign Pastor states: E.O.D.H. Answer: It is unscriptural and erroneous to say that a woman is no longer married to her husband if she commits adultery. Based upon Romans 7: 2 and I Corinthians 7: 39, she is bound to her husband as long as her husband liveth; not until she commits adultery.

**49** Romans 7:2 End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**50** Pastor Kocourek responds: Foreign Pastor, Like so many who claim to believe brother Branham and the Bible, you are choosing to only read a part of what Paul was saying here. You can not take only the part you choose, you must take it all. Read verse 3 my brother and you will see what Paul is saying.

**51** Romans 7:3 (Weymouth) This accounts for the fact that if during her husband's life she lives with another man, she will be stigmatized as an adulteress; but that if her husband is dead she is no longer under the old prohibition, and even though she marries again, she is not an adulteress. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**52** Foreign Pastor states: I Corinthians 7:39 End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**53** Pastor Kocourek responds: Foreign Pastor, again I say if you had read Romans 7: 3 it would have also answered your questions about 1 Corinthians 7:39 as it does also with Romans 7:2.

**54** Romans 7:3 (Amplified Bible) 3Accordingly, she will be held an adulteress if she unites herself to another man while her husband lives. But if her husband dies, the marriage law no longer is binding on her [she is free from that law]; and if she unites herself to another man, she is not an adulteress. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**55** Foreign Pastor states: Dr. Vayle or Brother Kocourek, I demand that you quote one scripture to support this erroneous, false teaching. Also show one quotation of Brother Branham to support this error. End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**56** Pastor Kocourek responds: First of all Foreign Pastor, your demanding does not show a spirit of love, nor respect as you have agreed to do. But since that is the way you word your statements, here is the explanation that you so desire.

**57** First of all, as for the statement that is made concerning the woman that leaves her husband she separates herself from her husband, brother Branham said that himself.

**58** Flashing red light 63-0623E P:77 Look. Now, in Jude the 7th chapter again, Sodom and Gomorrah... Oh, my, what an awful thing. Unmarried to women, going after strange flesh. A man that's married to his wife, they're not no longer two; they're one. And a man that'll run out after another woman, he automatically separates himself from his wife. And a woman that runs out with another man, she's dead to her husband. She's denied her own flesh; she's cut away from him (That's right.), in the day of judgment will have to answer for it.

So if she is cut away from him, or separates herself from him then she is no longer married to him. It does not take a writ of divorcement to do this, her own actions are what caused it, and the writ of divorcement is just the piece of paper acknowledging what is already obvious.

**59** Also you will find in Romans 7 : 3 That Paul also says this as well. I have posted 3 different translations of

the same verse to help your understanding of this matter. And since Paul preached it, we know that Brother did so as well. Because brother Branham did not teach anything that Paul did not teach.

**60** Romans 7:3 KJV So then if, while her husband liveth, she be married to (ginomai) another man, she shall be called an adulteress: but if her husband be dead, she is free from that law; so that she is no adulteress, though she be married to another man.

**61** Now, the Greek word that was translated as "married to" is the word ginomai and does not mean married to in the sense of an actual ceremony, but means "united to" or "to be found with" or "be fulfilled with" in reference to another man, other than her own husband.

**62** Perhaps several other translations will help you to see this more clearly.

**63** Romans 7:3 (Amplified Bible) 3Accordingly, she will be held an adulteress if she unites herself to another man while her husband lives. But if her husband dies, the marriage law no longer is binding on her [she is free from that law]; and if she unites herself to another man, she is not an adulteress.

**64** Romans 7:3 (Wycliffe New Testament) 3 Therefore she shall be called adulteress, if she be with another man, while the husband liveth [Therefore living the man, she shall be called adulteress, if she be with another man]; but if her husband is dead [forsooth if her husband be dead], she is delivered from the law of the husband, that she be not adulteress, if she be with another man.

**65** Romans 7:3 (Weymouth) This accounts for the fact that if during her husband's life she lives with another man, she will be stigmatized as an adulteress; but that if her husband is dead she is no longer under the old prohibition, and even though she marries again, she is not an adulteress.

**66** Now, this takes us to your next question, and that is whether or not a husband is to take his wife back if she has left him for another man. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**67** Foreign Pastor states: B: "The husband is not to take her back".

E.O.D.H. Answer: It is unscriptural and erroneous to say that a man cannot take back his wife if she commits adultery. If that is so, that annuls the Lord's teaching on forgiveness to our offenders. End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**68** Pastor Kocourek response. My brother, how can you say not taking an adulterous wife back annuls the Lord's teaching on forgiveness. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**69** Foreign Pastor states: It will also prove that Brother Branham taught us falsely. He was right and you are wrong. Jesus told the adulterous woman: "...Neither do I condemn thee: go, and sin no more." (John 8: 11). Not that you are no longer married and your husband cannot take you back.

**70** Matthew 6:14-15

**71** Matthew 12:31

End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**72** Pastor Kocourek response. But show me where Jesus told her to go back to her husband which she was



living with in adultery. When the people asked him about adultery he said it wasn't so from the beginning. In the beginning God made one man for one woman. Listen to what brother Branham says.

**73** Who do you say this is? 64-1227 P:5 We can forgive one another, but we can't forget about it, because we are made different. But God can forgive it and forget it. He can just wipe it out as though it never was. See? Because He has access to that Sea of Forgetfulness, but we don't.

**74** Foreign Pastor, would you allow a sex pervert who asked you for forgiveness for raping your daughter to come stay in your home? Would you expose your wife and daughters to such a person who has had a past with that kind of sin in his life? The Bible said, that repentance requires fruits meet for repentance. I do not think you fully understand forgiveness according to the Message or Scriptures. Jesus told the woman go and sin no more less a worse thing come upon her. Her adultery was caused by her unbelief. So now that she believes is it ok for her to go back and live in sin? My goodness Foreign Pastor, what kind of Message are you teaching? End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**75** Foreign Pastor states: MY WIFE, I WOULD FORGIVE HER

**76** Foreign Pastor quotes brother Branham: Quote W.M.B. 711-109 I'm not true to my wife because I'm afraid she'd divorce me. I'm true to her because I love her. There's no other woman in the world but her... If I done a mistake and they thought I did something wrong, come to her, say, "Meda, honey, I didn't mean to do that." She'd forgive me for it; I know she would. I'd forgive her; I love her. But I'd forgive her; she'd forgive me. But I wouldn't do it for nothing; I love her too much to do it. (Questions And Answers 62-0527).

**77** The prophet taught forgiveness and said that he would forgive his wife and a man is at liberty to do so. Dr. Vaile's teaching is legalistic, unscriptural and contrary to the teaching of W.M. Branham.

**78** Now, of course we know that was just a point the prophet of God was bringing. We did not have an adulterous prophet; he was sealed with the Holy Ghost and the devil could not break that seal. End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**79** Pastor Kocourek response. Br. A Foreign Pastor, since you do not understand forgiveness, perhaps we should take a sermon on that topic. Whether the man forgives his wife has nothing to do with whether he puts her away for adultery or fornication. So let me help you with some quotes from brother Branham explaining the difference between fornication and adultery and how man is supposed to deal with them

**80** Why are we not a denomination? 58-0927 P:52 Here the other day... I'll stop on my subject just a minute if it's possible. I was reading in a piece of Scripture where that a illegitimate child will not enter the congregation of the Lord for fourteen generations. How many knows that? That's right, Deuteronomy 23, an illegitimate child. If a woman is caught in the field, that's away from the protection of man, and a man overcomes that woman, that man will have to marry her. And regardless if she becomes a prostitute, he has to live with her till he dies. And if this woman marries him, pretending that she is a virgin, and she isn't, then she can be killed for it. And if a man and a woman which are married, and they bring forth a illegitimate child, that--the congregation of the Lord he'll not enter to fourteen generations; and forty years is a generation, be four hundred years before that seed ever gets out of Israel.

**81** Questions and answers COD 59-0628E P:107 Now, we've got one more and then that's all. Let's see.91. Brother Bill, what is the difference between fornications and adultery, Matthew 19:9? Jesus said in Matthew 19:9, "Whosoever putteth away his wife and marries another, except it be for the cause of fornications, commits adultery." The difference between fornications and adultery, the word could be applied either way. But to make it

clear what he was talking of there, that--a woman that's unmarried cannot commit adultery, because she has no husband to commit adultery against. It's uncleanness for her. She has to confess that to her husband before they are married if she's did that. If not and her husband finds it out later, he has a right to put her away, because she took a false vow. For the Bible said, "Be it well..." or ritual says. "Be it well known to you (I have it in mine) if any couples are joined otherwise than God's Word does allow, their marriage is not lawful. I will require and will charge you both as you'll surely answer in the day of judgment when the secrets of all hearts shall be disclosed, if either of you know any impediment why you should not be lawful joined together, do you now confess it." There you are. See? So fornications is what a girl, when she lives unclean, that's fornication, 'cause she has no husband. But when she's married, and then when she lives like that, she commits adultery against her husband.

**82** Invisible union of the bride 65-1125 P:39 Now, she has a sacred... One, I want to name three things here that she must not get away from. Now, I'm speaking, keep the church in mind while I'm speaking this to the natural woman, as Paul is here, in the 7th chapter of Romans. She has a sacred trust of virtue committed to her by her Lord: a certain virtue. Nothing else holds it but a woman. That's right. That's committed to her by God. She must not defile that virtue. If she even does something wrong, she must confess that to her husband before he takes her; and make it right. Just the same as the church that was married to the law has to come also before Christ, before the second marriage; she has to confess that. If she doesn't and she lives with her husband for ten years and then confesses it, he has a right to put her away and marry another woman. That's the Scripture. Fornication is unclean living. "Joseph, fear not to take unto thee Mary thy wife, for that which is conceived in her if of the Holy Ghost." He was minded to put her away privately (See?), after he'd already engaged to her. When you are engaged to her, as far as God's concerned, you're married to her.

Questions and answers COD 59-0628E P:108 A woman come not long ago, and she said, "Oh, I've confessed all that." She was nervous, and had a breakdown, and said, "I confessed all that to God." I said, "You have to confess it to your husband. It wasn't God that you committed adultery against; it was your husband." That's right. And if a man marries a woman and she has lived unclean before she marries him, and then she comes to him, if they've been married ever so long, and then she comes to him and says, "Honey, I want to tell you something. I did run out with another man; I never told you," Jesus said he has a right to put her away and marry another, because they're not married in the beginning, 'cause she falsely told a lie against him.

Now, the following quote is the one people use to show that a man can remarry, but if you read it carefully, it doesn't say for adultery.

Marriage and divorce 65-0221M P:77 See, she's got a living husband, so no man can marry her. Care what she does and who she is, she's got a living husband. There's no grounds for her at all. But it's not for him: causes her, not him. Get it? You have to make the Word run in continuity. See? Nothing saying he couldn't, but she can't. See? 'Causes her, not him. That's just exactly what the Bible says. "Causes her..." It is not stated against him to remarry, but her. Why? Christ in the type. Notice, it is stated that he cannot remarry, only a virgin. He can remarry; he can marry, he can remarry again if it's a virgin; but he can't marry somebody's else's wife. No, indeed. And if he does marry a divorced woman, he is living in adultery; I don't care who he is. The Bible said, "Whosoever marries her that is put away, liveth in adultery." There you are, not no divorcee. See that original back yonder from the beginning now? Remarrying... Now notice, he can, but she can't. Like David, like Solomon, like the continuity of the whole Bible.

**83** But if you will read the paragraph before he says it is only for the cause of fornication, which I gave you quotes where that is before the marriage she does the act without confessing to him before he marries her.

**84** Note, let's read this here. I want to get this to you. Matthew 5, Jesus spoke here of something that's really of a vital importance. We want to see it. Matthew 5. I wrote on my--I marked out some of the things I was going to say just to the men, so had quite a little time saying it just before our sisters. But I want to--to go out here now before... Now, sister, I want to put you into the place where God's Word promised you, and you see then--you stay in that place too. Matthew 5:32. I want you to notice here, to support this same idea of one and many. Matthew 30... I think it's Matthew 5:32--31 to begin with. It has been said, Whosoever shall put away his wife, let him give her a writing of divorcement: (Now, Jesus speaking, the One said, "From the beginning." Now, watch.) But I say unto you, That whosoever shall put away his wife, saving... the cause of fornication, causes her to commit adultery:... (See?)... whosoever shall put away his wife, saving... the cause of fornication, causes her to commit adultery:... (Why? She'll marry again.)... and whosoever... marries her that is divorced committed adultery. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**85** Foreign Pastor states: If Your husband continues to want to live with you, that's up to him.

**86** Foreign Pastor Quotes W.M.B. 1014-86 You committed adultery against your husband. You went to your husband and cleared yourself to your husband; then you went to the man and the husband and cleared yourself there. You are clear. If your husband continues to want to live with you, that's up to him. He don't have to do it now, but if he wants to live with you and forgives you, then you be lady enough to never be guilty of such a thing again. (Questions and answers 64-0823E).

**87** The messenger is saying that if a man finds his wife in a fault, he could forgive her and continue to let her be his wife, but he is not compelled to take her back, that is up to him, that is his business. He could forgive the woman, he could continue to live with her as a wife, Brother Branham said she should be lady enough never to do that again. End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**88** Pastor Kocourek response. Brother A Foreign Pastor, I do not have a problem reconciling this quote with the other from the Church Age Book, and when I counsel ministers around the world on this I never tell them what to do, but I tell them to pray about it. Because a man has a perfect right to put away his wife, but if he so chooses like Adam did, he may stay with her as well. But whatever happens down the road is his problem then.

**89** In Numbers 30: 1 And Moses spake unto the heads of the tribes concerning the children of Israel, saying, This is the thing which the LORD hath commanded. 2 If a man vow a vow unto the LORD, or swear an oath to bind his soul with a bond; he shall not break his word, he shall do according to all that proceedeth out of his mouth. 3 If a woman also vow a vow unto the LORD, and bind herself by a bond, being in her father's house in her youth; 4 And her father hear her vow, and her bond wherewith she hath bound her soul, and her father shall hold his peace at her: then all her vows shall stand, and every bond wherewith she hath bound her soul shall stand. 5 But if her father disallow her in the day that he heareth; not any of her vows, or of her bonds wherewith she hath bound her soul, shall stand: and the LORD shall forgive her, because her father disallowed her. 6 And if she had at all an husband, when she vowed, or uttered ought out of her lips, wherewith she bound her soul; 7 And her husband heard it, and held his peace at her in the day that he heard it: then her vows shall stand, and her bonds wherewith she bound her soul shall stand. 8 But if her husband disallowed her on the day that he heard it; then he shall make her vow which she vowed, and that which she uttered with her lips, wherewith she bound her soul, of none effect: and the LORD shall forgive her. 9 But every vow of a widow, and of her that is divorced, wherewith they have bound their souls, shall stand against her. 10 And if she vowed in her husband's house, or bound her soul by a bond with an oath; 11 And her husband heard it, and held his peace at her, and disallowed her not: then all her vows shall stand, and every bond wherewith she bound her soul shall stand. 12 But if her husband hath utterly made them void on the day he heard them; then whatsoever proceeded out of her lips concerning her vows, or concerning the bond of her soul, shall not stand: her husband hath made them void; and the LORD shall forgive her. 13 Every vow, and every binding oath to afflict the soul, her husband may establish it, or her husband may

make it void. 14 But if her husband altogether hold his peace at her from day to day; then he establisheth all her vows, or all her bonds, which are upon her: he confirmeth them, because he held his peace at her in the day that he heard them. 15 But if he shall any ways make them void after that he hath heard them; then he shall bear her iniquity. 16 These are the statutes, which the LORD commanded Moses, between a man and his wife, between the father and his daughter, being yet in her youth in her father's house. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**90** Foreign Pastor statement. There is no such scripture in the Bible and William Branham never taught such erroneous doctrine, that if a woman commits adultery that a man must put her away in divorcement.

**91** C. Dr. Vayle says, "that Adam took back his wife and knew her, contrary to Deuteronomy 24: 1-4".

**92** E.O.D.H. Answer: It is unscriptural and erroneous to say that Adam's sin was to take back his wife after she committed adultery, especially based upon Deuteronomy 24: 1-4.

**93** Based upon this error, do you Brother Vayle and Brother Kocourek teach your followers to divorce their wives on that ground?

**94** If not, you don't believe your Philosophy. "If yes", you are doing such contrary to the message. Are all ministers under obligation to preach that doctrine? Do you teach them so at your great gathering of ministers?

**95** The C.A.B. has already circled the globe and established that error since its publication in 1965. If you do not believe that erroneous doctrine, practice it and preach it, then correct it with humble repentance. Dr. Vayle, how many family lives were destroyed by that error? Only eternity will unfold. This is not a small matter but a destructive heresy. Who accounts to God for the woman's tears of repentance rejected by her Husband; also the tears, pains, sorrows and life long damage of little children? End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**96** Pastor Kocourek Response: Dear Foreign Pastor, I would like to ask you this same question. How many families have been destroyed and how many children have suffered because of your erroneous and loose teaching that allows women to commit adultery if they only do it just once as you seem to say it no big deal. How many families are destroyed by the adulterous woman who eats, wipes her mouth and says, I have done no evil. End of Pastor Kocourek Response:

**97** Foreign Pastor statement. Dr. Vayle's Erroneous Answer Does Not Fit Deuteronomy 24:1-4. End of Foreign Pastor Statement.

**98** Pastor Kocourek Response: Foreign Pastor, if you only understood the doctrine you would be able to read the Word of God for what it is saying. I will get into what this scripture that you are referring to says. But the quote from the CAB does not say what Scripture it is referring to. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**99** Foreign Pastor Statement. Adam did not put away his wife Eve for uncleanness. In this case in the Garden of Eden, Eve went out and had only one act with the serpent.

**100** Eve did not go out and become married to another man. The Serpent was not even a man.

**101** The serpent did not put away Eve in divorcement.

**102** Adam did not take back his wife in marriage, because she remained his wife after the act.

**103** It was a case of Eve being deceived. It was a case of Eve having one act with the serpent and then a second

act with Adam. It was not a case of Eve being married to another man. It was not a case of Eve getting a bill of divorcement. It was not a case of the second husband putting her away and she then returning to her first husband.  
End of Foreign Pastor Statement.

**104** Pastor Kocourek response: I am shocked that you would take so lightly the fact that this woman committed adultery against her husband. Only one act? You say? And then you say she was only deceived as though she had no choice in her actions. Don't you realize that this one act caused all sickness, death and destruction in the history of the world? I am really shocked my brother. Why do you take this so lightly? What is your motive? How many lives have been destroyed by your loose private interpretation of the Scriptures. How many sisters who sit under your ministry have gone out and committed adultery knowing that you make it easy for them to do so? And how many people do you know personally that have been destroyed because of a man not taking back an adulterous wife. You act as though the one doing the sinning is the man who will not take back the adulterous wife. Brother you should have quit while you were ahead. My goodness. End of Pastor Kocourek response:

**105** Foreign Pastor Statement: If this teaching is right then every man who follows this message whose wife commits adultery must be put away beyond reconciliation; if not he repeats the same sin which caused Adam to fall. I am saying that this doctrine is wrong. End of Foreign Pastor Statement:

**106** Pastor Kocourek response: That is your private interpretation, but w=not what is said in the church age book. The sin that Adam fell was not taking back his wife, it was doing what he was commanded not to do. End of Pastor Kocourek response:

**107** Foreign Pastor Statement: Now, the scripture means exactly what it says in Deuteronomy 24. You cannot apply it to Eve. The messenger said differently and opposite to Dr. Vayle and the church age book. A question was asked the prophet concerning how to straighten an adulterous matter and he answered as follow:

**108** Husband can forgive his wife

Quote WMB: "Now, this person, if she's the one that's guilty, she has did it; she went to her husband. Now, you've cleared yourself, sister...You committed adultery against your husband. You went to your husband and cleared yourself to your husband; then you went to the man and the husband and cleared yourself there. You are clear. If your husband wants to live with you, that's up to him. He don't have to do it now, but if he wants to live with you and forgives you, then you be lady enough to never be guilty of such a thing again. But if he doesn't forgive you, then that's his own business. He can put you away. Exactly right." (Questions And Answers 64-0823e)

Deuteronomy 24:1-4 When a man hath taken a wife, and married her, and it come to pass that she find no favour in his eyes, because he hath found some uncleanness in her: then let him write her a bill of divorcement, and give it in her hand, and send her out of his house. And when she is departed out of his house, she may go and be another man's wife. And if the latter husband hate her, and write her a bill of divorcement, and giveth it in her hand, and sendeth her out of his house; or if the latter husband die, which took her to be his wife; Her former husband, which sent her away, may not take her again to be his wife, after that she is defiled; for that is abomination before the LORD: and thou shalt not cause the land to sin, which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance. End of Foreign Pastor statement:

**109** Pastor Kocourek response: My brother, first of all we must ask ourselves what is this uncleanness, that bothers this man enough to put his wife away.

**110** Secondly this scripture speaks of a bill of divorcement that Jesus himself said was given to the people because of the hardness of their hearts. But Jesus said, it wasn't so from the beginning. In the beginning there was one man and God made one woman for that one man. So to try to justify your doctrine on something God gave the people out of the hardness of their heart is grasping for straws. As brother Branham said, that is thinner than the broth made from the shadow of a chicken that starved to death.

**111** This Scripture speaks of a man who marries a woman and then finds after they are married that she is not a virgin, he has a right to put her away, and it says then she is free to marry another.

**112** Invisible union of the bride 65-1125 P:39 Now, I'm speaking, keep the church in mind while I'm speaking this to the natural woman, as Paul is here, in the 7th chapter of Romans. She has a sacred trust of virtue committed to her by her Lord: a certain virtue. Nothing else holds it but a woman. That's right. That's committed to her by God. She must not defile that virtue. If she even does something wrong, she must confess that to her husband before he takes her; and make it right. Just the same as the church that was married to the law has to come also before Christ, before the second marriage; she has to confess that. If she doesn't and she lives with her husband for ten years and then confesses it, he has a right to put her away and marry another woman. That's the Scripture. Fornication is unclean living."

**113** Matthew 19:7 They say unto him, Why did Moses then command to give a writing of divorcement, and to put her away? 8 He saith unto them, Moses because of the hardness of your hearts suffered you to put away your wives: but from the beginning it was not so. 9 And I say unto you, Whosoever shall put away his wife, except it be for fornication, and shall marry another, committeth adultery: and whoso marrieth her which is put away doth commit adultery. 10 His disciples say unto him, If the case of the man be so with his wife, it is not good to marry. 11 But he said unto them, All men cannot receive this saying, save they to whom it is given.

**114** Matthew 5: 31 It hath been said, Whosoever shall put away his wife, let him give her a writing of divorcement: 32 But I say unto you, That whosoever shall put away his wife, saving for the cause of fornication, causeth her to commit adultery: and whosoever shall marry her that is divorced committeth adultery.

**115** Supernatural the 56-0129 P:47 The Pharisee said to Jesus, said, "Why did Moses suffer a writing of divorcement?" Said, "He did it because the hardness of your heart." Right. But it wasn't so from the beginning, never will be. God did it because of the hardness of their heart. B

**116** Marriage and divorce 65-0221M P:31 Jesus, in our text, invites us to go back to the beginning for the true Scriptural answer. Now, when He was confronted with this, there was two things in view. The priest said to Him, "Can a man put away his wife, marry another for any cause?" And Jesus said, "It wasn't so from the beginning. "Then they said, "Moses suffered us a writing of divorcement" (and to put her away for anything they wanted to). He said that Moses did that because (I'm letting that string awhile)--because of the hardness of your hearts, but from, or at the beginning it wasn't so. End of Pastor Kocourek response:

**117** Foreign Pastor Statement:The Bible is specifically making reference to a woman that was divorced and became the wife of another man by marriage. End of Foreign Pastor Statement:

**118** Pastor Kocourek response: Foreign Pastor, you are wrong again on this issue. It doesn't say that she became the wife a second time, as you are reading this. God's Word does not fight His own Word. If he said a man that would take another mans wife is guilty of adultery then why would Deuteronomy 24 say it is ok for the first man to put her away and a second man could marry her and that is not adultery? The reason is that this first man found out his wife was not a virgin so that nullifies the marriage altogether as brother Branham explains in the quotes I have given you in this discourse. So if they were not married to begin with then she would be free to

marry for the first time legitimately, but not as a virgin. Then that second man who knew what she was took her anyway, and then if he finds disfavour with her, and puts her away, then of course she could not go back to the first man as the scripture so plainly teaches. But since you do not understand the difference between fornication and adultery, that is why you think it is ok for her to marry and divorce and marry again. Now, my question to you is why did you not think of this when you wrote your nasty words about brother Vayle condemning him for being guilty in your eyes of spreading false doctrine. You are guilty of teaching in error, not Brother Branham who authored the Church Age Book Himself. And to top it off, your attack was not even against brother Vayle, but you are guilty of attacking brother Branham whom you claim to believe. Did not that the Pharisees do that to Jesus, They claimed to believe all the prophet's but when God came down as the God-Prophet they turned him down not even knowing who it was that they were fighting against. End of Pastor Kocourek response:

**119** Foreign Pastor Statement: The second man that she married had to put her away and also give her a bill of divorcement and under those conditions she could not return to the first husband. If the first husband had taken her back it was an abomination in the sight of God. Dr. Vayle misinterpreted Deuteronomy 24: 1-4. It is in opposition to the message and the prophet. This is how the prophet applied the law.

**120** Divorced, remarried woman - don't go back and take her

**121** Quote: 678-Q-159 159. Brother Branham, I was married to a woman that had been married before. We divorced, and she has been married twice since. The Bible states that if we desire to marry... to turn to... first wife. Now, could I turn to her who has been married before or could I be free? Well now, my brother, here's the only way that you could do it... Jesus said in Matthew 5, "...whosoever marries her that is put away liveth in adultery." So don't do that. No, you cannot go back to your first wife if she's been married again. ...No, sir. Get over in the Levitical laws. You go back to that woman, she's somebody else's property. You've defiled and made yourself worse off than ever. No, you should not take a wife back who's been married to somebody else...you don't go back again. No, sir. She's married to somebody else; stay away from her...Don't you go back and take that woman when she's been married two or three times since she married you. That's wrong. (Questions And Answers COD 61-1015M).

**122** I am anxious to see how you and Dr. Vayle would defend yourselves against the exposition of this gross error that was injected into the C.A.B. Perhaps you may choose to ask me in defence, what is the sin that Adam committed. That would not justify your erroneous teaching. It may help you to dodge the issue. I would prefer to hold my peace on that question until the right time. Because of the ignorance of Dr. Vayle on that question, he perverted Deuteronomy 24: 1-4 and formulated several heresies upon the subject of marriage and divorce. End of Foreign Pastor Statement:

**123** Pastor Kocourek responds: Foreign Pastor, since you wish to use the law to justify your position, then take all the law as it is written.

**124** Leviticus 20: 10 And the man that committeth adultery with another man's wife, even he that committeth adultery with his neighbour's wife, the adulterer and the adulteress shall surely be put to death. So if the adulterer and adulteress are to be stoned, then how is it possible for her to come back to him. Your Scriptures just do not line up, and Scripture does not fight Scripture my brother, but because you misunderstand the application of them you think them to be contrary. But God is not the author of confusion. That is why he said, Isaiah 55: 8 For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways, saith the LORD. 9 For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways, and my thoughts than your thoughts. End of Pastor Kocourek response.

**125** Foreign Pastor states: It is very hard for internationally, famous, men to humble themselves when corrected

by a little, nobody. Your attitude to this exposition will reveal your respect to the Word and message of Brother Branham.

**126** It will also prove your sincerity to your published policy in answering questions. End of Foreign Pastor statement.

**127** Pastor Kocourek responds. Yes, Foreign Pastor, and it is true that humility is the first basis of any true calling, and that is why after having shown you the error in your judgment concerning who the author and editor of the Church Age Book is, I am asking that you come down from your lofty perch and humble yourself and admit the errors that you have broadcast throughout the world via your books and website concerning this matter. I have enjoyed many of your writings, but the errors I saw on your website and the personal attack on Rev. Vayle because your man did not thoroughly explain himself when calling, and you forget Br. Vayle is a man that will soon be 94 years old, and in showing no respect for this man of God, I contacted you and this forum has begun.

**128** Brother Branham condemned men who would attack others who are trying to serve God, and for their own personal interests they would try to smear the good name of God's servants. My brother it is one thing to preach against sin, but when you cross the line by trying to destroy individuals, you are going to find yourself fighting against God. Brother Branham said in his sermon,

**129** We would see Jesus 57-0226 P:36 A few days ago I read an article, "McCalls" magazine, and how it was criticizing some of the men on the field, praying for the sick. And I was in the city where this editor lived. And I said, "These men might deserve some of that criticism, especially on the money and so forth. They might deserve it. I'm ashamed to say it, but they probably do. I'm not the boss." But I said, "I'd like to ask this to that editor. If these men in their mistakes are trying to get something done for God, what's he doing for the Kingdom of God?" And then when I noticed his article, that he said A. A. Allen wrote that book at the, on the biting Devil, or so forth, and Allen never wrote that book, Brother Allen never. And if he never checked his articles no better than that, I wonder if half of it's authentic. That's right. If it wasn't checked no more closer than that...

**130** Supernatural the 56-0129 P:27 A certain man wrote an article about me not long ago, which is perfectly all right; I love him. I don't say that to be a hypocrite; I say it because it's the truth. John Church, you Nazarene people's man... He said... He wrote against Divine healing. He said, "One of their key men, Mr. Branham," said, "I've never met the man in my life." And to think of a sensible man writing an article about a person he had never spoke to in his life. That shows there's something wrong. Our law says, "How can we judge a man without we hear him first." He said, "I don't know the man, never heard, never seen him in my life.

**131** I will be praying for your repentance my brother from your attacks which you never bothered to find out the truth of the Church Age Book, and as brother Branham said, when you attack a man based on assumptions, and you have not even bothered to check your facts, then what else did you write in error. What else is a lie? As a result you have been teaching heresies and blasting innocent people and you have actually been fighting against God's anointed prophet not even knowing who you were fighting against. Man are you in trouble brother. If it were me in your shoes I would first of all write an apology to brother Vayle, and then post it on my website for all to know, and take off every last one of your misconstrued and dislocated and misplaced statements based on your lack on knowing the facts. I pray that God will forgive you. If you repent my brother I will be the first to forgive you, because I actually like you and have hoped for a good relationship with you and if you recall I contacted you first and told you how that I appreciated your defence of the Message, but now when you turn it to one who worked so closely with Br. Branham and use a false understanding of the authorship of the Church Age Book to make your attack, instead of looking at your own lack of understanding the doctrine in the Church Age Book and asking God to help you to better understand it. And all because of things you most likely heard by those in error, and then you taught the error yourself. And brother if you did not even know who wrote the Church Age Book,



that is why you went off on a wrong tangent and that is why your doctrine is wrong. Had you believed the authorship of that book, your doctrine would be right today and we would not have had this discourse. End of Pastor Kocourek's Response.